

Oregon Daily EMERALD

The Oregon Daily Emerald is published five days a week during the school year, except during examinations and vacation periods, by the Student Publications Board of the University of Oregon. Entered as second class matter at the post office, Eugene, Ore. Subscription: \$5 per school year, \$2 per term.

BILL MAINWARING, Editor
MARCIA MAUNEY, Editorial Page Editor
SALLY JO GREIG, Associate Editor
JACK WILSON, Sports Editor
EDITORIAL BOARD: Bill Mainwaring, Marcia Mauney, Sally Jo Greig, Sam Vahey, Chuck Mitchelmore, Cornelia Fogle, Jack Wilson, Al Johnson
CHUCK MITCHELMORE, Managing Editor
CORNELIA FOGLE, News Editor
DOROTHY BARKER, Ass't Adv. Mgr.
CHARMION FORD, Office Manager
LORETTA MEYER, Business Manager
KEN NIEHANS, Adv. Mgr.
Photo Editor: Brant Ducey
Nat'l Adv. Mgr.: Jo Anne Milligan
Classified Adv. Mgr.: Arlene Krauss
Women's Editor: Dorothy West
Circulation Mgr.: Sam Vahey
Asst. Sports Editors: Jerry Ramsey, Jim Larimore
Executive Secretary: Pat Holley

Chief Make-up Editor: Al Johnson
 Ass't News Editor: Cay Mundorff, Joan Dennis, Nancy Castle, Pepper Allen,
 Feature Editor: Phil Hager

Opinions expressed on the editorial page are those of the Emerald and do not pretend to represent the opinion of the ASUCO or the University. Unsigned editorials are written by the editor; initialed editorials by members of the editorial board.

Back to Mac Court.

Basketball comes back to McArthur Court tonight, as Oregon tangles with the University of Idaho Vandals. It will be Oregon's third, and Idaho's sixth, try for a Pacific Coast Conference win; both have been unsuccessful in previous attempts.

As basketball action begins in Mac Court for the first time in a month, the Webfoots will be matched against a team rated about even with Steve Belko's troops. Both squads are building — they're both young and inexperienced — and they should provide a good preview of what to expect next year, although their antics won't have much bearing on the current PCC race.

Neither team has much height, but Oregon has two of the best rebounders in the conference: Hal Duffy, 6'6", and Charlie Franklin, 6'3". Belko has also developed what California sports writers called a "watch charm guard combination." Junior Wimp Hastings and sophomore Bud Kuykendall work the ball so smoothly it's hard to follow.

It'll be good basketball, and the games should be closer than Siamese twins. It'll also be our first chance to see the newly-selected Yell King in action, and he'll need plenty of support to make his debut a successful one. That's all the team needs, too. (J.W.)

One New Activity We Favor

The Emerald does not usually stand in favor of creating new activities on a campus already over-burdened with special week-ends, money-raising campaigns, and service projects. However, in the case of the newly proposed Northwest Quarterly magazine, we offer our whole-hearted support.

Campus activities at present are nearly void at the upper division and graduate level. We feel a quarterly magazine can ably fill out the University's activity program.

Aside from the need for the Quarterly as an activity remains a more important factor. Such a magazine will give encouragement and an appropriate outlet for what might be termed "higher intellectual pursuits."

The idea for the Northwest Quarterly has been carefully studied for some time.

The planners wisely decided against a purely literary magazine; not meaning it is to also be a humor publication, but rather that it is to be a voice of the entire University. There is hope that the Quarterly will draw contributions from all departments and schools.

Contributions will be accepted from faculty as well as students, with the eventual plan of attracting additional contributors from other schools. At this time there is no student-edited publication of this type in the Northwest. It is needless to elaborate on the prestige the University of Oregon would gain if such a project were successful.

The Quarterly has three years in which to prove itself. We thank those responsible for initiating and planning the magazine, and we wish the Northwest Quarterly, itself, success. (S.J.G.)

Sweetie Tooth?



"Say, didya notice that new chick serving the desserts?"

Interpreting the News

Emotionalism: Key Element In Communist Leadership

By JAMES MARLOW
 AP News Analyst

WASHINGTON (AP)—The Reds have troubles too. This sometimes gets lost sight of in this country's concern about the Russians and their tricks. One of their troubles is emotionalism.

An example of it is in informed reports from Moscow that Communist party boss Nikita Khrushchev wants to revive the Cominform which he and his friends killed last April. It was a Red formation bureau created to spread world communism.

The emotionalism can work, and to some extent has worked, for the benefit of the West. But it is the most dangerous, because it is the most unpredictable element in the Russian leadership.

Stalin could be predicted pretty well: not his precise moves but his general strategy. He was a Bolshevik but he was basically conservative; he wanted to expand but cautiously.

He tried to blockade Berlin in 1948-49 to see how much he could get away with in the West. It did not cost him anything. Defeated there by this country's airlift, he tried his luck in the East by letting the Korean War start.

There is no reason to believe he would not have started a general war—but only if he felt sure of winning. And one thing he always did—with two exceptions—was to exact rigid discipline from Communist parties everywhere.

But because of these exceptions — Yugoslavia and Red China—he left his political heirs with a tattered legacy. With the defiance by Yugoslavia's Tito in 1948 and the emergence of the Chinese Reds as masters of the China mainland in 1949 Stalin lost his absolute control of world communism.

China was too big for Russia to hope to dominate it as it dominated the small satellites.

The Chinese Reds now play along with Russia. They need Russia's economic and military help. There's no evidence Russia runs them.

But it was with Tito—in letting him live after he broke with Moscow—that Stalin may have made his biggest mistake. By the time of Stalin's death the survival of Tito and the growth of Red China had filled other Communist parties, particularly in the satellites, with some ideas of their own.

It was last year that Khrushchev and his friends sought to make the best of a bad situation in the Communist world by denouncing Stalin and telling Communists elsewhere they could assert some independence.

What was emotional about this was the way it was done. There had been no real preparation for it. Khrushchev practically blurted out the shift in tactics at the party Congress in Moscow last year.

It took Communists everywhere by surprise. It caused factional splits in Red parties outside Russia and, with the loosening of the controls, led to bloody revolt in Hungary and the less bloody attempt by the Poles to get more independence.

What happened this week in the satellites was almost unbelievable, and certainly would have been unnecessary, in Stalin's days. This was the visit of Chou En-lai, the Red Chinese premier to Poland to persuade the Poles to stick with Moscow.

Hungary, Poland, the disputes and dismay of Communist parties outside the Iron Curtain were all gains for the West.

Khrushchev's party Congress opens again next month. He and his co-leaders will have to make some explanations for their disasters of 1956 and, no doubt, will have to lay down a new line to recoup their losses.

The emotionalism of Khrushchev and his friends is not pretty to think about if Russia suffers new reverses which make them think they have to try something drastic to survive.

— Letters to the Editor —

Emerald Editor:

The Emerald's editorial of Wednesday did an excellent job of clarifying the opinion of the majority with its article "Principles above Expediency," regarding the arbitrary action of the school toward the broken window problem.

Following their policy to a reasonable conclusion, if someone were to break a window in Johnson or Emerald hall, or more logically, in the office of our director of dormitories, it would be necessary to charge the resident of that office for the cost of the broken window.

It might be interesting for those offended girls to see if this University precedent would be upheld under these circumstances...

J. W. Barchfield
 Sophomore in Pre-law

Emerald Editor:

"Armaments Race Is Inevitable" reads the headline of James Marlow's piece in Wednesday's Emerald. Mr. Marlow points out that our Government proposed a new disarmament plan to the

UN at the time that it asked Congress for a two billion dollar boost in armament spending. We are not speaking out of both sides of our mouths, says Marlow, rather this is a "commentary on the times we live in."

I disagree. We are speaking out of both sides of our mouth, and the sooner we recognize this the better.

In addition, I will not accept the proposition that the armaments race is inevitable. (I will not believe that it is inevitable in the sense that you and I can do nothing about it.) If I understand what our best military authorities tell us about modern weapons systems, then to believe that the armaments race is inevitable is to believe that a war of mutual destruction is inevitable.

Marlow would have been correct if he had said that our speaking out of both sides of our mouth was a commentary on our times. We have the old formula which has served us throughout our history — that

greater armaments gives us greater national security.

Opposed to this fundamental idea there is a new concept forced upon us by modern weapons—that a hostile nation can destroy us no matter how much armaments we have. We can only make it extremely hazardous for a hostile nation to do it. To believe that a hostile nation will never attempt to destroy us out of fear for itself is to believe that the leaders of such a nation will always act rationally in the interest of that nation.

Thus we will spend an additional two billion dollars on armaments in compliance with the old formula. It will not yield us more security in any real sense, but it will serve a purpose in making most Americans feel more secured. Speaking out of the other side of our mouths we will offer new disarmament plans giving lip service to today's facts of life.

Bill Alexander
 Graduate in
 Political Science