

Oregon Daily EMERALD

The Oregon Daily Emerald is published five days a week during the school year, except during examinations and vacation periods, by the Student Publications Board of the University of Oregon. Entered as second class matter at the post office, Eugene, Oregon. Subscription rates: \$5 per school year; \$2

per term.

Opinions expressed on the editorial page are those of The Emerald and do not pretend to represent the opinion of the ASUO or the University. Unsigned editorials are written by the editor; initialed editorials by members of the editorial board.

BILL MAINWARING, Editor
MARCIA MAUNEY, Editorial Page Editor
SALLY JO GREIG, **SAM VAHEY**, Associate Editors
JACK WILSON, Sports Editor
DOROTHY BARKER, Asst. Adv. Mgr.
LORETTA MEYER, Business Manager
KEN NIEHANS, Adv. Mgr.
CORNELIA FOGLE, News Editor
CHARMION FORD, Office Manager
EDITORIAL BOARD: Bill Mainwaring, Marcia Mauney, Sally Jo Greig, Sam Vahey, Chuck Mitchelmore, Cornelia Fogle, Jack Wilson, Al Johnson

Chief Make-up Editor: Al Johnson
 Ass't News Editors: Cay Mundorf, Joan
 Dennis, Nancy Castle, Pepper Allen.
 Feature Editor: Phil Hager

Photo Editor: Brant Ducey
 Nat'l Adv. Mgr.: Jo Anne Milligan
 Classified Adv. Mgr.: Arlene Krauss
 Women's Editor: Dorothy West

Circulation Mgr.: Sam Vahey
 Asst. Sports Editors: Jerry Ramsey, Jim
 Larimore
 Executive Secretary: Geri Gobel

There Are Two Things to Lose

Today and tomorrow this University is observing Charter Day. A committee of faculty and students has arranged for a high-level thought-provoking program worthy of our 80th anniversary.

The committee's first desire, aside from presenting something appropriate to the occasion, was to make Charter Day more meaningful to the average student. To do this it realized the importance of somehow attracting larger attendance.

In lining up Lee DuBridge and Robert Redfield the committee contracted internationally known scholars, but men who are

unknown to the average student. This is a compliment to our intelligence and attitude.

It is also a gamble, for our attendance at similar events in the past has not been impressive. And there are two things to lose. If students won't turn out they cannot be impressed with the meaning of Charter Day; and our reputation among intellectuals will rise or fall depending upon the attendance for these two great scholars.

We hope the students of this University will show the committee that its confidence in us was well placed.

Let's Show the Alumni...

When the Homecoming co-chairmen announced that living organizations would be expected to build both signs and floats this year there arose a storm of opposition. Inter-fraternity council voted 20-1 against pairings for the purpose of building floats.

Despite the fact that the co-chairmen want very much to provide something worthwhile on Saturday morning, they have agreed to a compromise. There will be a Homecoming parade, but no houses will be paired to build floats except on a voluntary basis.

We can understand such an attitude on the part of living organizations. They are constantly being hit from all directions, individually and collectively, by projects of all kinds.

But let's not forget that we owe our alumni a great deal. They have helped build this University through its 80-year

history. They are asked to support the athletic program and to provide funds to restore the Millrace. Someday we will want to add a wing to the Student Union. Guess who will foot the bill?

The Homecoming committee is leaving it up to each living organization whether or not it wants to build a float for the parade. We hope several will decide to support this project.

Those houses which don't build floats should feel obligated enough to our alumni to build especially good signs. And we hope these signs will be built FOR THE ALUMNI. Too often in the past the signs have been allowed to fall apart as soon as the judging is over. Let's show the alumni that we think enough of them to maintain our signs until they can see them Saturday morning.

Bitter Student Dept.



Gulliver's Trifles

The Jokes of Justice Appreciated by Expert

By KEN KESEY
 Emerald Columnist

Satan, a smiling, full-of-fun fellow, chortled happily as he made a notation in his joke book. He tripped a screaming sprite that ran by and scratched her back with his pointed tail and wrote his joke.



It was a hilarious, though not a new, one — something about the two-month sentence a Marine sergeant received for feeding six men to the 'gators in a swamp contrasted with the lifetime sentence nine men were serving for robbery; not very original, for jokes contrasting the value of life with that of cash were old hat among humans, but this had a new twist — five years and five million dol-

lars had been spent in capturing the robbers and they were not getting off with less than life. Satan laughed like a hot wind. He loved a good joke.

A demon rushed in, gasping with laughter. "Boss, this'll kill ya! Great joke. They're kickin' this girl outa college. No lie, it's funny as heaven."

"Where?" Satan roared, smiling at the thought.

"A coast school! Turn left after you cross the Styx." And Satan, in a flash of fire, was gone, turning left at the Styx.

He found the girl sitting on the steps of her sorority house, tearfully waiting for a taxi. From the drawn curtains inside the house, her former friends looked out and shook their heads holly at their fallen friend. He appeared before her in an ivy league suit complete with tail pocket.

"Hi, kid, why the long puss?" he asked collegiately, referring to her face.

"I've been expelled," she wailed. "I stayed out all night, and that is frowned on. They say a girl can become restless out all night, and they say idle hands tempt the devil."

He leered at her geography. "Oh, I wouldn't say it was the hands. Anyway, aren't you old enough to stay out all night?"

"Of course! I'm twenty-two. I'm an adult."

At the risk of being naive he almost asked where she had been. He said instead, "But there is no law forbidding an adult to stay out overnight."

"There is at the University. But after we graduate we're free to do as we please. We can do anything then. It won't bring disgrace to the university." Her eyes glittered as a freed convict's.

"Then you have been treated as a child, given no adult responsibility?" He wrung his long hands; this was diabolically humorous.

"None. We are mothered right up to the wire."

Satan took the pigeon by the hand. "Come on. Forget your ride. Me and you are goin' places."

"All right," she shrugged. She was free now. They had washed their hands of her. "What have I got to lose?"

Interpreting the News

Ike to Decide: Further Help for Yugoslavia?

By JOHN M. HIGHTOWER
 AP News Analyst

WASHINGTON (AP)—President Eisenhower is expected to issue today a policy decision to continue limited economic aid and military assistance to Communist Yugoslavia.

How long the aid would continue would depend on results of frequent reviews of Yugoslavia's relations with Russia.

Officials said the administration is determined to avoid any action which might push Yugoslavia into closer association with Russia. At the same time there is considerable concern over how rapidly Yugoslav President Tito may be rebuilding tight links with Moscow on his own initiative.

Those in decisive positions say privately they do not believe that Tito wants to alienate the support of Western nations which enabled him to survive and establish his independence after his break with the Soviet bloc under Stalin in 1948.

Tito's urgent need right now is for an estimated 200,000 tons of wheat from the United States to meet a severe food shortage.

It was understood that Eisenhower's decision would emphasize the importance of the wheat shipment. Yugoslavia would have to turn to Russia for this if it was not forthcoming from the United States.

Furthermore, agricultural interests in this country are anxious to reduce grain surpluses, and the distribution of U.S. food abroad involves humanitarian considerations — points which may be emphasized for domestic reasons, since aid to Yugoslavia is a matter of controversy.

A critical Congress voted last July that the Yugoslav aid program must stop unless Eisenhower determined by Oct. 16 that Yugoslavia was independent of Soviet control, and that it was not participating in a program "of Red world conquest."

Letters to the Editor

Emerald Editor:

In regards to the "Duck Tracks" of Oct. 8 by Jim Larimore, Emerald assistant sports editor, he should be advised that the act of plagiarism is a very serious offense.

One glance at page 57 of the Oct. 1 issue of "Sports Illustrated" can substantiate that poor Herman Hickman was the victim of this act.

The assistant sports editor should be reminded that creative thinking can be quite enlightening and certainly less dangerous than that of appropriating the literary composition of another and passing it off as the product of one's own mind.

John Slaughter
 Sophomore in Accounting

Emerald Editor:

I wish to protest the inept and slanted way in which my remarks on the Suez situation were reported in today's Emerald.

As reported, the paragraph to which I object reads:

Pitts also listed three alternatives if the canal were to be closed. "Build more pipe-

lines through areas to the north, build super-tankers, or divert the Nile from Egypt," he suggested.

I suggested nothing of the sort! The statement had to do with the possible alternative being considered by policy planners. The diversion of the Nile waters that flow through the Sudan I should regard as a most inhumane act, and not one worthy of serious consideration. Most emphatically I did not suggest this course!

Forrest R. Pitts
 Instructor in Geography

Editor's Note:

The ass't sports editor's intent was not to "pass off" Mr. Hickman's ideas about the split-T as his own but to try to apply some of them to the football operation at Oregon. The comments by Herman Hickman, a true authority on the game and former line coach for Earl Blaik and head coach at Yale, rang a bell after the near-defeat by Idaho and loss to UCLA.

Jim Larimore
 Ass't Sports Editor