

Oregon Daily



EMERALD

The Oregon Daily Emerald is published five days a week during the school year, except during examinations and vacation periods, by the Student Publications Board of the University of Oregon. Entered as second class matter at the post office, Eugene, Oregon. Subscription rates: \$5 per school year; \$2 per term.

Opinions expressed on the editorial page are those of The Emerald and do not pretend to represent the opinion of the ASUO or the University. Unsigned editorials are written by the editor; initialed editorials by members of the editorial board.

BILL MAINWARING, Editor
MARCIA MAUNEY, Editorial Page Editor
SALLY JO GREIG, SAM VAHEY, Associate Editors
JACK WILSON, Sports Editor
DOROTHY BARKER, Ass't Adv. Mgr.
EDITORIAL BOARD: Bill Mainwaring, Marcia Mauney, Sally Jo Greig, Sam Vahey, Chuck Mitchelmore, Cornelia Fogle, Jack Wilson, Al Johnson.

LORETTA MEYER, Business Manager

KEN NIEHANS, Adv. Mgr.
CORNELIA FOGLE, News Editor

CHARMION FORD, Office Manager

Chief Make-up Editor: Al Johnson
Ass't News Editors: Cay Mundorff, Joan
Dennis, Nancy Castle, Pepper Allen.
Feature Editor: Phil Hager

Photo Editor: Brant Ducey
Nat'l Adv. Mgr.: Jo Anne Milligan
Classified Adv. Mgr.: Arlene Krauss
Women's Editor: Dorothy West

Circulation Mgr.: Sam Vahey
Asst. Sports Editors: Jerry Ramsey, Jim
Larimore
Executive Secretary: Geri Gobel

In the Fall — It's Bunions!

In the spring a young man's fancy may lightly turn to thoughts of love, but in the fall — it's bunions.

Yes, tonight is the Bunion Derby, annual celebration in honor of all feet, from size 4½B to 13EE. Reactions to this gala affair are numerous. Only the honored guests complain.

Freshmen find it fascinating. Here is a chance to meet all those names that so often appear in print. There will undoubtedly be a football hero and a Queen for everyone.

Sophomores find it stimulating. Some handsome freshman is always mistaking a sophomore girl for a freshman. Soph men, being almost upperclass men, can now snow the opposite sex with their experience in

handling dorm counselors, getting elected to honoraries and faking ID.

Juniors find it jostling. Everybody bops. At least bopping alleviates the problem of closed positions dancing, and makes partner changing quite easy, if not necessary.

And weary seniors find it somniferous. After four years, conversation is known to be no more than "What's your name? Major?" and "My you dance well."

Even if you don't "find" the Bunion Derby, it is bound to find you. There is no use washing your hair, writing a letter or studying. You may as well join the cry of, "Allons enfants." Who knows? You, too, may meet your Waterloo. (S.J.G.)

If You're 'Of Age,' Think Twice

University students of voting age should think twice before letting today and tomorrow go by without registering to vote in the November election.

We hope that their second thought, if not their first, will take them to the Lane County courthouse, to any fire station in Eugene or to the Republican or Democratic headquarters downtown. At any of these places they can go through the painless and speedy procedure of making themselves eligible to vote.

County election officials have urged all students, mainly those from out-of-county, not to wait until the last minute to take care of their registration, lest it turn out to be not so speedy.

We cringe at wording our plea in such a cut-and-dried manner, void of any appeal to one's sense of patriotic duty. But previous voting statistics have shown that college students are as neglectful of their duties as

citizens as are the rest of our population. Recent surveys have shown that a surprising number of eligible college students do not plan to vote in November.

Evidently they have too much concern for the multitude of activities in their little universe called the campus. Probably they have no "time" to let enter their minds such mundane thoughts as might be connected with their right to vote. It is for these students that we make it sound like a simple procedure.

But we still have hope that these statistics and survey reports do not apply to our campus; that a good per cent of our students who are "of age" will take a few moments of their time to place their names in the voters' register. And, even more important, may these students also find time between now and November endeavoring to make their small part of our nation's electorate a well-informed one. (M.M.)

New Dining is Mighty Enjoyable

Dining is "mighty enjoyable" now-a-days in the campus dormitories, especially since a system of co-educational eating has been established.

In years past, it was the rule that all women eat in Carson and Hendricks halls; and that all men eat in the John Straub and Earl hall units. This rule of arbitrary separation has gone by the wayside, however, the same as the rule which prohibited women from voting.

The advantages of the new system are many. Obviously, both men and women now dress much more carefully before entering the dining hall. No more do you see the girl with her hair in pincurls, or the fellow with his pajama bottoms on.

Secondly, the whole atmosphere of the dining hall has changed. Table etiquette is improved and shouting and rowdiness has ceased entirely.

Thirdly, and probably most importantly, the men and women have a real opportunity provided for them to meet each other. There is no hesitancy, whatsoever, on the part of the freshman men and women to sit next to one another.

As the year progresses, dining room assignments will be changed so that the men who have been eating in one hall will have an opportunity to move on to the next place and meet even more women.

This new dormitory policy came about through the cooperation of the dorm counselors, the office of student affairs, and the dormitory administration office. We're glad that these administrators have seen fit to lower the bars separating the sexes at the dinner table.

Co-educational dining is working out to the enjoyment of everyone concerned: the administration, the dormitory staff, and, especially, the students. (S.V.)

'Derby' Favorite

by Dennis



"Hmmm . . . He's been dancing with her for three hours now . . . on the same darned nickel."

Interpreting the News

Both Parties Had a Hand In Killing Aid to Education

By JAMES MARLOW
AP News Analyst

WASHINGTON (AP)—Confused parents wonder: Who killed federal aid to education which would have meant more classrooms? Democrats or Republicans? The answer: Both parties had a hand in it.

Both parties have blamed each other. President Eisenhower this week sought to give the impression the Democrats did it. His explanation was too simple. Here is an attempt to explain it:

Early in 1956 Eisenhower proposed a federal aid to education program. A bill to carry out his idea was offered in the House. A lot of other bills were introduced, too, including bills by Democrats who had a majority in the House.

The House Education and Labor Committee held hearings 27 days and agreed on a compromise bill sponsored by Rep. Kelley (D-Pa.). Roughly the Eisenhower program called for aid to states based on need. The Kelley bill would have divided it according to the school-age population in each state.

One of the Republicans voting for it was Rep. McConnell of Pennsylvania, who played a big part in what followed later. He is the senior GOP member of the committee.

The committee okayed the bill in July, 1956. The House didn't bring it up for a vote that year. In 1956 Eisenhower again proposed federal aid. The House brought up the Kelley bill last June 28.

What followed got complicated and confusing.

Rep. Powell (D-NY), a Negro, proposed a red hot amendment saying no federal money could go to states which persisted in public school segregation.

Debate in the house is limited, but it was clear that if the Kelley bill with the Powell amendment attached came up in the Senate, where debate is unlimited, Southerners would filibuster the whole bill to death.

Then Rep. Gwinn (R-NY) proposed an amendment to scrap the Kelley bill's idea of aid to states according to school-age population and have the federal government give back to the

states one per cent of the total income tax, individual and corporate, collected in each state. The refund would be used for education.

This, too, was approved on an unrecorded vote. Sometime later, perhaps an hour, it was discovered that adoption of the Gwinn amendment eliminated the Powell amendment which the House had just approved.

So there was another vote, knocking out the Gwinn amendment. Since Powell's amendment had been eliminated in this process, Powell offered it again.

This time it was approved on a rollcall vote, 225-192. It broke down: 148 Republicans and 77 Democrats for the Powell amendment; 146 Democrats and 46 Republicans against.

Here Rep. McConnell came back into the picture. Although he had voted in committee for the Kelley bill—he moved now to shelve it and substitute for it a bill carrying out Eisenhower's ideas.

This was defeated, 261-158. It broke down: 215 Democrats and 46 Republicans voted against it; 149 Republicans and 9 Democrats voted for it.

Then came the final vote on whether to pass the Kelley bill at all. The bill was killed, 224-194. It broke down: 119 Republicans and 105 Democrats voted to kill; 119 Democrats and 75 Republicans voted to pass it.

Last summer Adlai Stevenson blamed the Republicans for what happened to federal school aid. Now he is more careful. Speaking about it on Sept. 28 he said "a large majority of Republicans voted against" the Kelley bill.

This week Eisenhower said: "There was only one clear vote on my call for action. In the House the opposition voted against it by 215 to 9, while three-fourths of the Republicans voted for it."

He was referring to McConnell's last-minute effort to substitute a Republican bill for the Kelley bill. But he said nothing of the fact that the Republicans outnumbered the Democrats in voting for the Powell amendment and then in killing the Kelley bill.