Oregon Daily EMERALD

The Oregon Daily Emerald is published Monday through Friday during the college year from Sept. 15 to June 3, except Nov. 16, 25 through 30, Dec. 7 through 9, 11 through Jan. 4 March 8 through 10, 12 through 29, May 3, and 31 through June 2, with issues on Nov. 21, Jan. 23, and May 8, by the Student Publications Board of the University of Oregon. Entered as second class matter at the post effice, Eugene, Oregon. Subscription rates: \$5 per

school year; \$2 per term.

Opinions expressed on the editorial page are those of the writer and do not pretend to represent the opinions of the ASUO or of the University. Unsigned editorials are written by the editor; initialed editorials by the associate editors.

To Chime--Or Not

We'd like to bring attention to the letter to the editor running today, which expresses a number of valid reasons for opposing chimes in the Student Union. We're sorry the letter didn't run a little earlier when student reaction might have had more influence in the final decision of placing chimes in the Student Union.

The Emerald ran two editorials opposing the idea of chimes. There also were numerous stories in the paper when the senate was first asked to consider the possibility of chimes. We heard a lot of opposition talk going around the campus on the question of chimes.

The point we're trying to make is-with these stirrings of student opposition, more consideration and time should have been spent in gathering a complete picture of student opinion.

But, the arrival of this letter after the chimes have been ordered is a reflection of student apathy when the question was first broached. When chimes first came up, we received no letters expressing general student opinion one way or the other.

Call For A Change

Something must be done to change the intramural set-up. The sudden realization a short time ago that the law professors, at least in name, were playing intramural basketball opened a wide field of thought about the "cheating" that is going on. But, this requires further thought.

Cheating almost will always occur when there is something to gain and relatively little to lose. It stands to reason that the teams that can and do win will not need to borrow loose stars or dig up ineligible hotshots. A losing team will bring in a "ringer" only if they haven't the material to work with in their own group. They realize they stand the chance of being caught, but it is worth it to them because they can't win any-

All right, let's get down to brass tacks. There has been discussion in the past about separating the teams into leagues according to their ability. This is an idea that merits attention. Why must a team take on competition out of proportion to its ability? It is nearly impossible for a small organization to beat the "big" teams and get into the play-offs.

It wouldn't be quite as bad if a small team would be allowed to enter the "B" league and forget the higher classed "A" league. An organization with only five or six men is faced with a single possibility. It must play against the "top" teams.

Why not consider a league for the large organizations, another for the middle-class organizations and a third for the small organizations?

There seems to be a certain percentage of ballplayers in a given group, therefore the number of men in an organization could determine the league in which they would play. The playoffs could then include either the top team in each league or, to keep the situation equal, there could be three separate champions.

The point is this. No matter what change is applied, as long as it is for the better and can offer more equality, it will be worthwhile. A "Reed College" can't be expected to play against a "Notre Dame." (R.M.)

Note of Recognition

It isn't Emerald policy to boost one campus activity over another on the editorial page. But, in connection with T. S. Petersen's appearance on campus at a 1 p.m. assembly today, we'd like to point out what Standard Oil of California has been doing in the way of scholarships for college students.

The company annually awards four \$500 scholarships to Oregon students. Award is based on leadership potential and scholarship. The scholarships also are renewable on the basis of performance during the preceeding year.

Of course, more and more firms are awarding scholarships but we think Standard Oil deserves special credit because of the outstanding students they've helped through Oregon and the personal interest the company takes in its scholarship winners.

During spring term, a representative of the company is on campus to talk to scholarship winners. The company keeps a complete record of the student's accomplishments while in college. They also compile a booklet each year, identifying and picturing scholarship winners, which is sent to other business firms in the area.

The four scholarships are awarded on a class basis. Holders this year include Ron Lowell, senior in pre-med; Robert Summers, junior in pre-law; Gail West, sophomore in business administration, and Bruce Bloomfield, freshman in liberal arts.

Letters to the Editor Panel to Discuss

Chimes—In the SU?

Emerald Editor:

"Who wants chimes?" we ask. Last Thursday's Emerald stated, "The alumni and faculty of the University have expressed almost unanimous approval of the chimes project." We would like to know who polled the alumni and faculty for their "almost unanimous" approval, and if questionnaires were sent out, why not to students also?

Now, it seems that the ASUO senate, the representative body of all students, has voiced their "unanimous" approval. This comes as a surprise to us, since we were under the impression that the issue finally passed last term, but only after much discussion and tabling of the motion. Whatever the case may be, it also was our understanding that the senate's judgement, in other words, student opinion, would not affect the SU's decision of the chimes idea one way or the other.

Thus being aware of the uselessness of our objection, we, nevertheless, feel that it is our duty to air our opinion. We disagree with the chimes project for these reasons:

1. It is an appalling waste of money.

2. Chimes are neither necessary nor greatly to be desired. 3. The SU is no place for

The first objection stems, naturally, from the \$3500 which is to be expended for the chimes. Last term it was said that \$1900 had been left over from a SU memorial fund, and together with additional contributions would pay for the chimes. Since the memorial, for which the fund was originally created, has been built, we would like to know why this \$1900 remainder has to be spent.

And, if it must be, why is it to be used for chimes which call for double the amount of money allotted? The Emerald states that the additional contributions to the class of '43's fund were made by the class of '53 and alumni leaders. We would like to know if the classes of '43 and '53 gave their "unanimous approval" of the project along with their contributions.

It appears to us that this \$3500 total, if transferred to a scholarship fund, could be put to the much more worthwhile use of offering four years of college study to some man or woman who would otherwise be deprived of this advantage. Then too, a perpetuation plan of a \$100 scholarship awarded annually to an outstanding entering freshman would serve a useful purpose and at the same time, keep the memorial alive. Certainly, additional contributions would extend this memorial scholarship beyond its original 19 year scope.

To get back to point two the chimes themselves are not necessary or desired. We have bells to ring us to and from class, and we sincerely doubt that many seniors will feel their significance because of the playing of chimes.

as the saying goes, "This is neither the time nor the place." The sistant professor of journalism. Student Union building would be stepping out of character with the advent of chimes.

To the student, the SU means all that is included under the heading of extracurricular activities, from dancing and coffee drinking to the many meetings that are held there. Chimes on the other hand carry a spiritual note (especially since they are a memorial) not in keeping with the atmosphere of the SU.

Chimes would be more appropriate in a campus chapel. Here again we feel that the money might be put to better use in a chapel fund, or at least held until chimes could be installed in a campus chapel. But we realize that the chimes have already been purchased. Now we only hope that someone will realize the incongruity of chimes in the SU and have them placed in a more suitable atmosphere, one which has carried the traditions of the University since its founding, such as Deady.

We have objected and suggested, and although nothing will come of it, it is gratifying to know that at least student opinion can be voiced - if only in a letter to the editor-

Names Withheld by request

CAMPUS BRIEFS

Deadline for items for this column is p.m. prior to the date of publication

 All Heart Hop committee chairmen are to meet at the YW-CA office in Gerlinger today at 4 announced. She asked that the chairmen bring their reports.

6 Members of the caption staff of the Oregana will meet at 1 p. m. today in the Oregana office on the third floor of the Student Union, Laura Sturges, associate editor, has announced.

And Now It's Spring



"I somehow managed to ignore his advances last term."

"Job Opportunities in All Phasek of the Field of Journalism" will be discussed by a panel of journalism professors at tonight's Unftversity Press club meeting set for 7:30 in the Student Union.

The panel will include W. Le Thompson, assistant professor of journalism and business administration, speaking on advertising and public relations in the field commencement to be of greater of journalism. Carl Webb, assist ant professor of journalism and secretary of the Oregon News-However, our attitude is not paper Publishers Association, willwholly negative. We do believe discuss job opportunities on Ore: that one day chimes will be a gon newspapers. Opportunities in part of the Oregon campus, but the field of radio and TV will be handled by Robert Summers, as-.

"The speakers will explore all phases of journalism and thus give those interested in journalism the opportunity to see just what the field has to offer," commented Dick Lewis, Press club's president.

The public is invited to attend. the meeting, Lewis said. Refreshments will be served.

Term's Rush Ends; 33 Men Pledged

Men's spot rushing for winterterm ended with pledging Friday, and Ray Hawk, associate director of student affairs, has affnounced that the following men pledged:

Howard Potts, Francis Rhodes, Varrell Rutter, John Spreen and Milan Stoyanov, Alpha Tau Omega. Schuyler Jeffries, James Laughton and William Pitman, Beta Theta Pi.

John Prag and Vance Taylor, Chi Psl. Alan Dale and Ken Hampton, Delta Tau Delta, Bruce Holt and Larry Sovell, Delta Upsilon. Charles Browlow, Donald Shreve, William Stonebraker and Ronald

Wehrley, Kappa Sigma. David Dougherty and Joseph-Peak, Lambda Chi Alpha. Herbert Hemington, Stephen Johnston and John Manning, Phi Delta Theta,

David McNutt, Phi Gamma Delta .-

Leland Agenbroad, Dean Boyle, Robert Hart, Stewart Johnson, Charles Killion and Ward Patter. son, Phi Kappa Psi. Herbert Yamanoka, Tau Kappa Epsilon. Ted p. m., Anne Hill, co-chairman has Baker and Jack Katzenmeyer, Theta Chi.

Campus Calendar

11:00 Petersen pr. conf 315 SU-

Noon Deseret Cl 110 SU Soc Staff 111 SU Petersen Lnch Phi Betz Plge cl com. rm 1:00 Petersen Asbly Ballrm SU-IVFC 2:00 Journ Conf 315 SU Ddrm SU 4:00 Cof Hr Forum WUS 213 SU-6:00 Prop Cl 110 SU 6:30 Phi Chi Theta 114 SU Pi Lambda Theta 213 SU 6:45 SDX 315 SU. 7:00 Prop Cl Christ. Sci Ger 1st fl. 7:30 Press Cl 112 SU Phi Delta Kap 334 SU* 8:00 Buchsbaum Lect Blrm SU Hui-O 110 SU

Listening In ... On KWAX

TUESDAY-

6:00 p.m. Sign On

6:03 Piano Moods 6:15 Four for a Quarter

6:30 News Till Now

6:45 Sports Shots 7:00 19th Century Italian Mus.

8:00 Patrioscript

8:30 Voices of Europe

9:00 Kwaxworks

10:50 News Till Now 10:55 Tune to Say Goodnight

11:00 Sign Off