# It's Great to be a Winner!

We congratulate the Oregon football team and coaching staff. It's great to be on the winning side. Especially against a California team.

Several times in the last two years of Coach Casanova's career at Oregon his men have fought southern teams right down to the wire only to lose by narrow margins.

For example, take the Cal game last year, which the Ducks lost 28-26. That was considered a moral victory to end all moral victories. But California had the most points.

Last Saturday, Oregon had the most points. That is the sort of victory we like.

Next Saturday the Ducks will end their season against Oregon State. Here's hoping they won't be satisfied with anything less than a real victory.—(B.G.)

# The American Way Isn't the Only Way

"America is the best country in the world."

You hear that broad statement quite a bit. It sounds patriotic and loyal and anti-Communistic. Yet it is perhaps one of the biggest blocks in the United States to the promotion of international understanding.

Americans have become a little smug about their position in the world. They like to talk about mass production, equal rights, Horatio Alger, and high standards of living. They are sometimes prone to ignore the construction achievements of nations much older than the United States.

Howard E. Wilson, formerly with the United States Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization and now a representative of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, spoke to the International Relations Club Wednesday. He suggested two points which would aid in furthering international understanding:

1. An understanding of the culture of other peoples.

2. A strong sensitivity and a deep humility in approaching a study of other peoples.

International understanding is not a wishy-washy friendship, Wison said, but an intellectual understanding without pre-basis and pre-judging.

We liked what Mr. Wilson said: The American way is not the only way. Too few people consider this. They allow national stereotypes to block their vision of the real people. They become wrapped up in a tradition of "Americanism" and discard reason.

# **Rewards of Teaching**



"To Professor Snarf: for four years of kindness, thoughtfulness, fairness, consideration—The senior class."

# Oregon Daily EMERALD

The Oregon Daily Emerald published Tuesday through Friday during the college year except Sept. 17 and 19; Nov. 27 through Dec. 1; Dec. 4, 9 and 10; Dec. 12 through Jan. 5; March 5, 10 and 11; Mar. 13 through Mar. 30; and May 30 through June 4, with issues on Nov. 8, Feb. 7 and May 9 by the Student Publications Board of the University of Oregon. Entered as second class matter at the post office, Eugene, Oregon. Subscription rates: \$5 per school year: \$2 per term.

per school year; \$2 per term.

Opinions expressed on the editorial page are those of the writer and do not pretend to represent the opinions of the ASUO or of the University. Initialed editorials are written by editorial staff members. Unsigned editorials are written by the editor.

LARRY HOBART, Editor

SALLY THURSTON, Business Manager
Helen Jones, Bill Gurney, Associate Editors

JIM HAYCOX, Editorial Assistant

# SWEDEN IS NEUTRAL

# Scandinavian Views of NATO

## by E. A. Van Natta

At the present time only two of the Scandinavian countries are members of the North Atlantic Treaty organization while the third, whether for better or for worse, has chosen the straight and hazardous path of "neutrality." Norway shows the most enthusiasm for the Atlantic Pact, while little Denmark goes along, if somewhat hesitantly. Sweden, numerically and economically the strongest of the three, is politically and tempermentally about 100 per cent "neutral."

In the late winter of 1949 the Swedes gave some indication that they might be willing to abandon their cherished neutrality which had successfully kept them out of two world wars. For some time talks had been going on among the three Scandinavian countries concerning the possibility of creating a purely Scandinavian defensive alliance.

Both the Danes and the Swedes agreed that such an alliance would be the best road to security. From the Swedish point of view any step which would orient their policy further toward the West might very well be interpreted by the Soviet Union as a hostile act which would more than likely induce the latter to immediately occupy Finland.

#### Norwegians Differ

The Norwegians, however, looked at the situation in somewhat a different light. They contended that a Scandinavian defense pact could not possibly be effective unless it received arms and supplies from the United States. The proposed plans for a North Atlantic alliance were gaining momentum at this time and Norway felt that some sort of a tie-up with the organization would be highly practical.

The difference between the two countries was soon resolved, although many Norwegians and Swedes are still skeptical as to the results. On February 9, 1949, the Swedish-proposed pact was made public. Article 12 stated that during the validity of the

#### Notes to the Editor



Mr. Larry Hobart Emerald Editor University of Oregon Eugene, Oregon Dear Larry:

I would personally like to thank you and your staff for the cooperation and efforts exerted to make Homecoming activities and developments known to the students through the Oregon Daily Emerald.

As you well know, it is the Emerald that makes or breaks the success of many activities—insofar as publicity is important. And on such an occasion as Homecoming, publicity is important.

I can therefore report Homecoming a tremendous success, giving a good share of the credit to you and your staff for the wonderful response shown.

Sincerely yours, BOB METZ Chairman, 1952

## **Emerald International Affairs Analyst**

pact (20 years unless reneunced after 9 by one of the signatories) none of the three nations would be permitted to conclude a military union with any other nation. At practically the same time the U. S. National Security Council, sitting in Washington announced that any nation not allied with the United States would not receive American military aid.

Soon after this announcement, Norway, which to a great extent looked toward the West for her security, had to make a choice between Western support and Scandinavian alliance without such support. She chose to turn toward the West while Denmark, feeling herself politically isolated, did likewise. Sweden, preferring political isolation to Western alliance, chose to remain neutral.

#### Norway Supporting Pact

Today Norway is apparently giving whole-hearted support to the Atlantic Pact although most Norwegians admittedly continue to hope for what they know to be impossible — namely, neutrality. Norway is not putting her financial position under too severe a strain since only 6 per cent of the national income or 20 per cent of the budget is going for military expenditures.

The Norwegians are extremely touchy about the political implications, both internally and externally, of having foreign troops stationed in her territory. Even though Oslo is the site of the headquarters of the Northern pactor of NATO Norwegian officials have been very emphatic about no foreign troops being stationed in their country.

#### Danes Cool To Pact

The Danes are much less enthusiastic about the Atlantic Pact than are the Norwegians. Strategically they are but a few short miles from the Soviet zone of Germany with no intervening natural barriers whatsoever. Once the war starts, Denmark realizes that she will be occupied almost immediately by Sovace troops. The Danish Army had to .. start from scratch after World War II but with American aid and the steady persuasion of-General Eisenhower it is beginning to show some signs of rejuvenation.

As for Sweden, there is no indication that she has any inten- . . tions whatsoever of giving up what she calls neutrality. Recent incidents with the Soviet Union, such as espionage conducted by the Soviet Embassy and the attack by Soviet air craft of Swedish army planes over the Baltic Sea, have not as yet caused the .. Swedes to look toward the West for future security. Fear that Swedish membership in the Atlantic Pact would bring on an immediate Soviet occupation of Finland is apparently as strong, now as it was in 1949.

# Prisoner of Grace': Joyce Cary

#### Novelist has earmarks of greatness Laughter, sympathy, vigor discerned

## By Michael Lundy

We are departing this week from our avowed policy of reviewing reprints to recommend a newly published novel, "Prisoner of Grace," by Joyce Cary. The book is available both at the University library, for free, and at the Co-op rental library, so we feel it is within the means of penny-clutching students.

Joyce Cary is one of the very few present day writers who shows all the earmarks of greatness. Until recently, he was almost unknown in America. But when such barometers as the Book of the Month Club (which picked "The Horse's Mouth" three years ago) and Time magazine (which gave Cary their cover and entire book section last month) endorse a writer, one can be sure he has at last achieved both critical and popular approval.

#### "Enthusiastic Spectator"

Cary is an enthusiastic spectator at the game of life. His joyful chronicles of people in their native habitats, whether they be English drawing room or African jungle, fairly bubble over with laughter, sympathy, and vigor.

Nina, the narrator of "Prisoner of Grace," is a beautiful, amiable and perceiving woman, whose only fault, if it can be called that, is a realization of other people's needs and feelings so strong that it causes her to give in to their wills, in preference to her own.

Nina's love, from childhood on, belongs to Jim Latter, her cousin, an impetuous, idealistic aristocrat. When Nina is still quite young, he falls into the habit of creeping into her bed to warm himself during the night. This has its inevitable outcome; Nina becomes pregnant.

The omnipresent Aunt Latter, a fierce but sensible old maid,

promptly arranges a marriage with a poor clerk who has political ambitions, one Chester Nimmo, who has been awkwardly and unsuccessfully courting Nina for some time.

#### Chester Great Character This Chester Nimmo can stand

with Cary's Gulley Jimson and " Sara Monday as truly great characters in fiction. Nimmo becomes an extremely powerful politician -by using every known trick, fraud, betrayal, characterization, . . and ingenuity in an unscrupulous and unmerciful manner. He keeps \* Nina with him in the same way, even though he knows that Jim has managed to become the father of a second child to her, and that she is in love with Jim (in her own rather vague, drifting way). Nimmo believes everything he does is right and he manages to make others believe it. The / amazing thing about him is that he remains throughout the book . a sympathetic character!

It is not until Nina is 45 and Chester is 62, at the end of his political career, that she manages to escape, divorce Chester, marry Jim, and give birth to her first legitimate child. Happy ending? Not quite.

#### Old, But Much Alive

The inimitable Nimmo manages to inculcate himself into Jim's and Nina's household and takes every opportunity to make love to her behind the madly suspicious Jim's back. The book ends with all three dwelling together in a stew pot of mixed emotion. They are old, but they are, like the whole book, very much alive.

The hurried student, used to a fast-paced fare of crime, sex and science fiction, may find the book drags at times. But anyone willing to spend a couple of long evenings will find his reward in some of the best writing being done today.