

Demo 'X' Goes to Adlai, A Responsible Leader

By Arlo Giles

President, University of Oregon Young Democrats

I am supporting Adlai Stevenson for president. I believe that this is the time for a great President. Adlai Stevenson will be one.

He will be one not only because of his wide experience, his balance, his utter integrity, his noble vision and great hope for America—but also because of the program he and John Sparkman fight for, together with the forward-looking Democratic party.

Governor Stevenson has discussed the issues squarely, has addressed himself to the problems before us with courage, candor and eloquence. His appeal is not to unreasoning sentiment but to the sober judgment of the American electorate.

At a time when every American wants, above all, peace and security he has outlined an affirmative foreign policy which recognizes the threat of world communism must be met not alone by military strength but also by such constructive means as economic and technical aid to our allies and to people in the underdeveloped areas of the world.

Governor Stevenson firmly believes that our action in Korea was necessary to halt the march of communist tyranny and renew the faith

Campus Politicians Present Viewpoints

Don Collin is a member of the campus Young Republican organization. Arlo Giles is president of the University's Young Democrats.

...We asked both men to write their views on the candidates which they are supporting in the current election. The two statements, one favoring Eisenhower and one Stevenson, appear on this page.

Notes to the Editor



© W. D. P.

To the Editor:

We all heard with interest last week, the disclosure that an Oregon lumberman donated about \$1,000 to Senator Wayne Morse's printing fund. Whether the fund was justified or not is difficult to say, in the light of statements by many senators that they have had such funds, but the circumstances surrounding this one are unique.

Senator Morse was one of the first Republicans to come out in full support of General Eisenhower when the latter began his campaign, and was actively behind Eisenhower when the Tafts, Jenners and McCarthys were still sitting on their hands. Ike's accomplishments as head of NATO and the belief that no one would be able to dictate policy to him if elected were some of the reasons given by Morse.

Then came Ike's meeting with Taft after which the former announced that the two men were in substantially the same frame of mind regarding both domestic and foreign issues. Later, Ike announced his support of Jenner (who had called his former boss, General Marshall, a pinko) McCarthy, and even a DEMOCRAT, Governor Byrnes—whose politics had been completely contrary to those which Eisenhower had expressed until that time in the campaign and for his whole career in public life.

Senator Morse's action in bolting the Eisenhower camp was understandable when you realize that Ike's campaign began to be run like someone had been putting words in Eisenhower's mouth and his statements began to sound like it was McCarthy and Jenner talking and not the crusading general. Morse's voting record has been consistently opposite to that of these men, and Mr. Owen, the contributor, who was so greatly shocked by Morse's action would not have been at all surprised if he had studied his senator's voting record.

It was Morse who had been betrayed and not Mr. Owen, who could have kept his money had he felt that he and the senator were not supporting the same things — a question Mr. Owen could have answered for himself by asking Morse about it point blank BEFORE he gave him the money. MORSE has never deviated from his original policies.

It seems to me that if Mr. Owen feels he has been duped, he would do well to point to himself for the reason why.

Very truly yours
Harvey L. Orner

GOP Can Best Tackle Corruption, Reds, Prices

By Don Collin

Member, University of Oregon Young Republicans

Again the nation is faced with a Presidential election. And again the question arises—should there be a change? There is nothing desirable about a change, just for the sake of change. A party in power four or twenty years should be judged on the record. It is upon the unfolding of the present administration record that indicates why it or any one picked by it to carry on should be repudiated at the polls.

Before looking at the failing of the Democrats, there are three campaign myths that should be explored and exploded. First, that the Democrats are solely responsible for prosperity and that the election of Republicans will bring inevitable depression. Second, labor has everything to fear from a Republican election because of the Taft-Hartley act. Third, farmers can at best expect a recession from a GOP victory.

First myth the Democrats are pushing is "Don't let them take it away" and "Remember '32." The former slogan refers to Democratic prosperity and the latter to Republican depression. The depression of the 30's has been characterized by the 12 million unemployed. Yet

Another 90-Day Wonder



of freedom loving peoples in their common defense, but we must seek solutions at the conference table not by war. "We must keep the door open; we must make manifest our intention, our desire, our implacable purpose, to negotiate and to cooperate. We must not give up trying to find the means of tolerable co-existence" (Speech at Hampden-Sydney College 6/9/52)

"We have accepted the appalling cost of rearmament and military assistance as the immediate condition of security. But the mere existence of power in the free world can only lead to an uneasy security. The arms race must end before it ends us We will not beat the Soviet Union except by beating them with a better idea. We can show up tyranny as a method of handling international problems by making cooperation work Free men can demonstrate that it pays to be free Continuing progress is fundamental to carrying through any of these policies. How to attract the allegiance of millions throughout the world who have not yet decisively rejected the false promises of Communism? That rejection will be largely shaped by positive performance, the American performance in particular; by our ability to avoid the disruptions of depression, to guarantee equality of opportunity, to diminish prejudice and discriminatory practices, to root out corruption, to preserve freedom of thought and speech." (Same speech as above)

His policy points towards peace without appeasement, strength without provocation and patience without weakness. His statements have been consistent and responsible. He is too honest to promise drastic tax cuts and adequate preparedness at the same time. Governor Stevenson has said, "All of us would like a tax reduction. It must be obtained at the earliest possible opportunity consistent with our national needs."

Governor Stevenson's proposals on domestic policy are clear and forward-looking. His position is clear and positive; his position

is consistent on civil rights and F.E.P.C., on tidelands oil and the protection of our national resources, on labor relations and support to agriculture, on the vital issue of inflation. In these and other stands he has demonstrated his ability to tell selfish special interests those things that must be said firmly for the common welfare.

He heartily endorses the social gains of the past twenty years. His election would insure a wise consolidation and careful extension of sound social reforms. Compare this to the attitude of his opponent in regard to social security: "If Americans want security, they can go to prison. They'll have enough to eat, a bed and a roof over their heads." (Speech at Galveston, Texas, 12/8/49) THAT is Eisenhower talking!

We may have confidence in Governor Stevenson's ability to act skillfully. He is experienced in the highly complex problems of civilian administration. He has tightened administrative practices, lopped off useless political jobs, exercised sound economy, eliminated special privilege in awarding contracts, struck a powerful blow against illicit gambling and organized crime. He has

(Please turn to page three)

FATHER, DEAR FATHER, COME HOME WITH ME NOW.



after the Roosevelt depression of 1937, and after many billions of dollars; on the eve of World War II this country still had eight millions without work. Only because of the war and the continuing "cold war" has unemployment fallen to about two million or less. A war prosperity. The policy of Roosevelt failed to lift us out of the depression. But the policy of world conquest of a man in Germany helped immeasurably.

The other tangent of this argument is that the Republicans will inevitably plunge the country back into a depression. If this be so, then what is the value of the anti-depression legislation the Democrats assume responsibility for during the 1930's. It will still be on the books after November 4, regardless of who wins.

The second myth of the campaign is indoctrinated fear that the Taft-Hartley act is a slave labor bill. The Democrats in conjunction with the labor bosses have tried to sell a bill of goods to the working man. A president of a local once told me, "The business agent told me to get up and argue against T-H. I argued until I was blue in the face but I didn't know then and still don't know what's wrong with it." This was in 1949. Five years later (1952) the unions are as strong as ever. They have remained and will remain strong under T-H. Unions to be strong must have members. It is still the policy of the Federal Government under T-H that under certain conditions a man must join a union to get a job. Labor has nothing to fear from a GOP victory.

The third myth is directed at another major economic group—the farmers. The Democrats would have the agriculturists believe that a Republican victory next Tuesday would remove farm support and agricultural prosperity. It was during the 80th Congress that a Republican sponsored bill was passed offering a sliding 60-90 percent parity. Now because of rising costs to the farmer the policy has been boosted to between 90-100 percent of parity.

The loss of prosperity, the end of labor and the end of farm income will not be consequences of a GOP victory, regardless of what the Democrats preach to the contrary. With the emotionally-packed issues out of the way, turn now to a few of the real issues of the campaign. These are: corruption, inflation, communism at home and abroad.

One of the biggest issues is corruption—RFC loans, income tax fixes, 5% mink coats, deep freezes, etc. The question is not of existence or of extent. Stevenson acknowledges the "mess in Washington" and that one corrupt official is one too many. The question is: Can it be cleaned up and by whom? Stevenson says, "Yes!" To prove it he would have you look at the record. He took over from a Republican administration. It is no strain to throw out the other party (there's unemployment insurance now). In Washington Stevenson will take over from an administration who's head personally helped the Stevenson boom and directed his alternate to vote for Stevenson at the convention.

Secondly, how good is the Illinois record of this man? Horse meat scandals and cigarette

(Please turn to page three)