

Duck Tracks

By Larry Lavelle
Emerald Sports Editor

Oregon's football brass is a bit miffed over the way in which the sports world handled the coverage of the Idaho-Webfoot game last weekend in Moscow. It all stems from the so-called "questionable" interference plays which greatly aided and abetted the Ducks' garrison finish.

And those writers who went to great lengths emphasizing the luck involved in the Webfoot win would do well to review the National Collegiate Athletic association's rules governing pass interference and what constitutes pass interference.

Rubs Him Wrong Way

Backfield Coach John McKay was particularly irked over the press's presentation of George Shaw's out of bounds pass to Lloyd Powell. With the ball on the Oregon 35 midway in the fourth quarter, Shaw passed toward Powell on the Idaho 46, but defensive back Larry Hart was guilty of shoving Powell.

"It matters not at all that the receiver and the ball were both out of bounds," said McKay, "What is clearly evident is that the Idaho back interfered with Powell. The only time they are allowed to hold up a potential receiver is at the line of scrimmage—and that's all."

"As far as luck is concerned," McKay went on, "we didn't get any breaks from the officials. They had to call those penalties against Idaho because they were obvious violations." Said Assistant Coach Jack Roche, "we were penalized plenty for illegal use of hands which are usually not called."

Good For The Spirit

Although dissatisfied with the showing at Moscow, the coaches agreed that the last ditch win was a tremendous spiritual lift, coming in advance of Saturday's expected bruising go with the California Bears at Portland. But no one holds out much hope for the Webfoots to de-rail the Californians at Multnomah stadium in a game which the Berkeley juggernaut calls another whistle stop on the road to the Rose Bowl.

Vern Sterling returned to McArthur with a discouraging scouting report. Briefly it reads something like this: "Billy Mais, qb., great ball handler and good passer; Don Johnson, lb., tremendous runner, made big gains off tackle; Johnny Olszewski, fb., same as Johnson; Bill Powell, rh., very fast ball carrier; line, offensively terrific, defensively, fair; overall depth, more than adequate." We thought the fourth string offensive left end on kickoffs was a bit slow.

There you have it, brief and to the point. Sterling waxes enthusiastically over Johnson, Powell and Olszewski and calls them an All-American contingent, off their Minnesota performance. The Bears won, 49-13. "They're every bit as good as Pete Schabarum and Jim Monachino of the 1950 Bears," said Vern. And he ought to know. He had to play them.

Vandals Impress Him

Sterling made one reservation: "I still like that Idaho defensive line—it's the best I have seen this year." Come to think of it, Oregon did a man's sized job in bottling up the all-everything Les Richter last year and crowning everything by running right through the holes that he was supposed to back up.

No one expects a recurrence of the 1951 astonisher at Berkeley Saturday, but the 25,000 and some fans who are expected at Portland hope the Ducks won't fold like an accordion. They've heard about that vicious line play against UCLA in the Coliseum but they didn't see anything resembling it in the Nebraska encounter.

Just what makes the Cal machine tick? This excerpt from Art Rosenbaum's column in Sunday's San Francisco Chronicle should shed some Bear facts on the subject. The SF columnist picked this story out of a Minneapolis paper:

If The Jayvees Are Good . . .

"Coach Fesler explains part of the reason why his young Minnesotans were thrashed, 55-14 by California last year. 'I'll never again make the mistake of taking my squad inside that field so early. We came out to find a game in progress between the Cal Ramblers (the jayvees) and one of the better service teams in that area. As we sat there watching that game, all of us became amazed at the caliber of this junior varsity team.

"Their general football demeanor was outstanding in all respects, and in the space of a few minutes they had scored several touchdowns. This wonderful display of ability and know how didn't do the mental well being any good. You can well imagine how our kids felt when they watched this team in action, knowing that it was just the junior varsity. An hour or so later our fellows started the game. That they were still in a daze was very evident."

Kappa Sigs Lose; Phi Delt Blank Pi Phi in IM Play

Little Joe Tom, the fleet-footed Hawaiian, passed to four touchdowns and four conversions as he led his undefeated Hale Kane team to a 28-0 victory over Susan Campbell in intramural football action Tuesday night.

Tom, shooting accurate passes to Streeter, Oyama, Kolashrado and Fase, provided a score in every quarter. Mathias, Murkhead and Oyama added the extra points.

Brittain Scores TD

In other games Sigma Alpha Epsilon defeated Kappa Sigma 7-0. The lone score came in the second period as Savage scored on a ten yard gallop. Bob Brittain tallied through center for the extra point.

In the Delta Upsilon-Delta Tau Delta game the DUs came out in front 19-0 with Woodmand, Shuck and Mecklem doing the scoring.

Tau Kappa Epsilon and Sigma Alpha Mu won. Al Barzman provided the scoring punch with the help of the fine passing from Olds.

Bullet-passing Nick Schmer and glue-fingered Jim Livesay passed

IM RESULTS

Sigma Alpha Mu 18, Tau Kappa Epsilon 0.

Delta Upsilon 19, Delta Tau Delta 0.

Sigma Alpha Epsilon 7, Kappa Sigma 0.

Hale Kane 28, Susan Campbell 0.

Alpha Hall 14, Barrister Inn 7.

French Hall 6, Merrick Hall 0.

Phi Delta Theta 21, Pi Kappa Phi 0.

Sigma Nu 18, Phi Kappa Psi 0.

and caught their Phi Delt teammates to a 21 to 0 defeat over the Pi Kaps. After a blocked kick set up the score, Schmer threw to Darrell Nelson for the first six.

Schmer Routs Pi Kaps

The next TD thrust was another aerial from Schmer, only this time it went to Livesay who was standing in the end zone 30 yards away. The conversion was successful. The final score came on a toss from Gene Lewis to Livesay. Early in the first half the Phi Delt collected two points on a touchback.

Tailback Joe Sugura was the big factor in Sigma Nu's 19 to 10 blanking of Phi Kappa Psi. He collected the first six on a 50-yard gallop in the first half; and passed to Cary Moran for the final counter. Moran gathered in a Tom Swam aerial for the other tally.

Scoring on the first play of the game, Alpha Hall dumped Barrister Inn, 14 to 7. On first play after the kickoff, George Estey flipped a "sleeper" pitch to Pete Luvas on the sidelines and Luvas outraced the Barrister secondary for the six points. Norm Rucker threw to Gil Leiberman to add another TD. A touchback accounted for the other two.

Bernie Kelly fired to Gup Bear for Barrister's two and one-half yard touchdown. A Kelly to Rog Rose pass added the extra point.

Although it had a first half score called back, French Hall managed to slip past Merrick Hall, 6 to 0. Loyd Lewis tossed to lineman Frank Case for the lone score.

Retraction

Correction: The Philadelphia house defeated the Yeomen, 4-2 on downs after the two teams deadlocked 0-0 in Monday's intramural football action.

Desk Editor: Larry Lavelle.
Ass't Desk Editor: Jerry Harrell.
Staff: Gary Canova, Morton Harkins and Sam Vahey.

Discipline Code, UO Rules, Listed

Like most parts of society, Oregon has rules and regulations governing the actions of the students. These rules are adopted by the student discipline committee.

Composed of student, faculty and administrative representatives, it is unusual in its composition. The committee is the only one in the PCC conference, and one of the few in the nation, to include students. Also, the inclusion of Mrs. Golda Wickham, director of women's affairs, and Ray Hawk, director of men's affairs, as non-voting ex-officio members is unique.

The faculty members of the committee are P. M. Foskett, associate professor in sociology, chairman; Jessie M. Smith, N. H. Oswald, associate professor in English; K. E. Montgomery, associate professor in speech, and H. R. Taylor. Student members are Alex Byler, sophomore in liberal arts; Helen Jackson Frye, senior in English, and Ronnie Lowell, junior in liberal arts.

The code provides the following:

The University presents this code in full recognition of the fact that the overwhelming majority of the students need no code imposed on them and that the offenses outlined are uncommon on the campus. Nevertheless, it is in the interest of the entire University community that the student body know what general policies and procedures the student discipline committee and the office of student affairs intend to follow in the disposition of such disciplinary cases as may arise. The following code is deliberately kept flexible in order that each case may be decided on its own merits.

Article I — Expulsions

The following offenses are punishable by expulsion or such other penalties as may be imposed. (Expulsion means final and unconditional dismissal).

1. Wanton destruction of property.
 2. Drunkenness.
 3. False information concerning overnight off-campus privileges.
 4. Immorality.
 5. Gross indecency.
 6. Misbehavior punishable under the State of Oregon Criminal Code.
- Note: students may also be expelled for fraudulent registration.

Article II — Suspensions

The following offenses are punishable by suspension or such other penalties as may be imposed. (Suspension means involuntary removal for a fixed time or until specific conditions are met.)

1. Cheating.
2. Unauthorized absence from living organizations after closing hours.
3. False information concerning overnight off-campus privileges.
4. Traffic in or possession of liquor at any University sponsored activity.
5. Any other action which brings discredit upon the University of Oregon.

Article III — Organizations

1. The administration of the University of Oregon expects each group organization that exists on the campus by the sanction of the University to conduct its affairs in such a manner as will reflect credit upon the good name of the group and the University.

2. Student organizations which violate the provision of Article I and II, or whose members do so in such a manner as to fix the responsibility on the organization, are subject to such penalties as the disciplinary officers in student affairs or the student discipline committee may impose.

Article IV — Administration

1. Administration of this code shall be the primary responsibility of the director of women's affairs and the director of men's affairs, who may make final disposition of all cases involving punishment short of expulsion from the University.

2. The director of women's affairs and the director of men's affairs shall refer to the student discipline committee all cases in which they wish to recommend expulsion from the University.

3. The personnel deans may at their discretion refer any case to the committee and the committee will consider the referral itself as evidence of the gravity of the alleged offense.

Article V — Implementation of the Code

In order to prevent vandalism, officials of the University of Oregon and Oregon State have mutually agreed to suspend any students who engage in malicious acts on a foreign campus.

Disciplinary officials have been directed to assume that participation in an unchaperoned party at a hotel or in a motel is sufficient cause for suspension from the University.

And aside from the code, there is something else of importance—student drivers. There are many restricted areas on the campus in which students may not park and some parking lots where only registered cars may park.

Offenders may be taken before the student traffic court (a part of the ASUO) and fined.

Remember

THE NEW LYNWOOD CAFE

This Weekend for that evening treat

Complete fountain service

- Salads
- Sandwiches
- Dinners

Lots of parking space

Easy to find—1/2 mile north of the overhead on highway 99 north—For reservations call 5-9064