

Oregon Daily EMERALD

Campus Headlines--Elsewhere

No Honor System at the UCLA BusAd School

The OREGON DAILY EMERALD is published Monday through Friday during the college year, except examination and holiday periods, with issues on Homecoming Saturday and Junior Weekend Saturday by the Associated Students of the University of Oregon. Entered as second class matter at the post office, Eugene, Oregon. Subscription rates: \$5 per school year, \$2 per term.

Opinions expressed on the editorial page are those of the writer and do not pretend to represent the opinions of the ASUO or of the University. Initialed editorials are written by the associate editors. Unsigned editorials are written by the editor.

LORNA LARSON, Editor
ROBERT GREENLEE, Business Manager
PHIL BETTENS, Managing Editor
GRETCHEN GRONDAHL, BILL CLOTHIER, DON DEWEY, Associate Editors
GRETCHEN GREFE, Advertising Manager

Wire services: Associated Press, United Press. Member, Associated Collegiate Press.

The Displaced Professors....

We'd be interested in starting a psychological study of the effects of relocation on Oregon's new brand of displaced persons.

The new DP's—a breed peculiar to the University—are the history, political science and economics professors who have been forcibly ejected from their traditional quarters in Oregon hall, due to the remodeling and addition program, and deposited in Susan Campbell hall—a former women's dormitory.

We inspected the new Susie the other other day. It still looks very much like a women's dormitory.

What interested us, though, was the professors' reaction to the move. They're quite cheerful about it; in a way, they've never had it so good. At least they have more "conveniences" than they've ever enjoyed before. Most of the offices have built-in washbasins and mirrors; "I can straighten my tie before every class," gloated one instructor.

Two history instructors find their offices separated by a bathtub—not to mention other related facilities. One of them has been quoted as saying "We don't quite to know what to do with that bathtub; we've considered filling it with potted plants, but the most practical suggestion has been to stock it with cracked ice."

For the benefit of watchful Oregon Mothers, we presume that the latter suggestion was facetious.

Some of the offices have adjoining sleeping porches. "We keep these locked during the hours when students are in here," quipped one suspicious prof.

The old dormitory bathrooms are modestly marked with Scotch-taped slips of paper announcing "men" or "ladies." We can only imagine the havoc if somebody quietly switches a few slips.

Some of the rooms in Susie are classrooms, too, as many students can testify after a series of desperate searches. Perhaps the most interesting is Room 4, formerly the laundry room. It has a labyrinth of steam pipes overhead, and the temperature is quite comfortable on a snowy day with the back door open. Anyway, if Susie ever becomes a dorm again it'll have the fanciest-lighted laundry room on campus.

Actually, we think the most realistic approach to the situation is that of the professor who is threatening to save money by buying a hot plate and moving in.

As we said, we'd be interested in starting a psychological study...—G. G.

Results of a student cheating poll taken at UCLA recently reveal that students in the Business Administration department are "way ahead" in the cheating movement, according to the "Daily Bruin."

The poll showed that 49 per cent of all students and 57 per cent of all undergraduates at UCLA indulge in cheating. The head of the BA department gave this explanation: "In the past, BusAd classes have been quite large. The high intelligence of the students and severe standards might invite weak students to cheat."

At the University of Washington, students who intend to drive a car to school "may pay 25 cents per day or \$7.50 per quarter to park in the police-guarded lot at the northwest corner of campus," the "Washington Daily" reveals. Free parking areas are available farther from the main buildings.

"More liberal social rules" for women students at the University of Mississippi have been adopted by the Women's Student Government organization.

Among the more liberal rules is the following: "Extension of the five miles from the University limit to 25 miles on paved highways for dates and driving." The curfew of 8:30 for freshman women during their first semester will be extended to 9:15 permission during the second semester provided a C average is made.

Another plea for extended library hours comes from Washington State College. The "Daily Evergreen" reports that AWS, in a formal statement to the Board of Control, has suggested that the library be open Friday evening, all day Saturday and Sunday afternoon and evening.

Several ads in recent issues of the University of California's "Daily Californian" have offered a "mid-term seminar in Physics 2-B" for \$2.50. Some enterprising student named Massey advises in his ads that "a very fine lecturer will organize the material in easily understandable form and will illustrate methods of answering typical questions."

Clinching argument of the Massey ads is the following slogan:

"Get an 'A' the Massey way."

The University of Alabama's student government association has asked University President Gallalee for a spring vacation, with the extra days to be added somewhere on the calendar if necessary.

A similar request was turned down last March on the grounds that the petition had been filed too late.

A new wrinkle in the "help week" instead of "hell week" idea appears at Syracuse University. The Syracuse Daily Orange tells of the project of pledges of Alpha Epsilon sorority: making foreign students feel at home.

Each pledge will take a foreign student to the movies and then on a tour of Syracuse shopping centers. Lunch will be served at the sorority house.

This project takes the place of hazing and what the "Daily Orange" calls "useless duties." This is the first "help week" project to be initiated on the Syracuse campus, although the idea had been suggested before by IFG Council.

The Atomic Age Is The Atom Bomb Cheaper Than Conventional Weapons?

By Phil Johnson

One of the most important news stories of the past year was almost completely ignored by the national press.

It was a proposal contained in a Sept. 18 speech to the United States Senate by Sen. Brien McMahon of Connecticut.

Senator McMahon suggested that the United States increase its military strength while simultaneously reducing military expenditures by 30 billion dollars or more by shifting the bulk of our armaments production to the manufacture of great quantities of atomic bombs.

Asserting that the United States can keep up with the present arms race only by spending an annual sum equal to the average yearly cost of World War II, McMahon stated, "For every dollar spent upon atomic defense we can save \$5 on conventional defense."

"... dollar for dollar," the senator remarked, "atomic deterring power is actually hundreds of times cheaper than TNT. An

atomic weapon can produce, at a cost of \$20 or \$30, the same explosive force which cost literally thousands of dollars to produce by ordinary means."

Then he offered another startling revelation: "If we mass-produce this weapon, as we can, I solemnly say to the Senate that the cost of a single atomic bomb will become less than the cost of a single tank."

Nevertheless, less than three cents of every American defense dollar has been spent for the production of atomic weapons since Japan surrendered.

In addition to the inherent military value of the "A-bomb," it possesses another great advantage over conventional weapons.

Tanks, shells, bombs and submarines have little peace-time value, but almost all of the energy stored in atomic weapons "will last thousands of years without deterioration," McMahon asserted, and will be extremely valuable for peace-time utilization.

The senator proposed that the present annual expenditures for atomic energy be increased from the present one to six billion dollars. At the same time, other defense expenditures would be drastically reduced.

Consequently, McMahon argued, both our economic and military positions would be strengthened.

McMahon's plan, which was awarded a quick presidential cold shoulder, acquires an added significance when two important points are considered.

Firstly, McMahon is a Democrat. Therefore, it is not logical to assume that he was motivated by an attempt to discredit the administration.

Secondly, McMahon is the chairman of the Joint Congressional Committee on Atomic Energy. He knows the facts. On the subject of atomic weapons, he is probably one of the most informed individuals in the nation.

Consequently, his proposals deserve serious, careful consideration.

An atomic bomb can destroy an enemy beachhead; a tank can merely attack one small segment while lumbering through the minefields, rocket fire, artillery barrages, bazooka projectiles, aerial attack—and enemy atomic explosions.

Unfortunately, the atomic plan apparently has been shelved by the Senate... Russia has no Senate.

Letters to the Editor

Moral Responsibility

(Ed. Note: This letter was received last fall term, after the Emerald ceased publication.)
Emerald Editor:

I read Mr. Cesarz's review of "The Desert Fox" with great interest. Mr. Cesarz exhibits an attitude which I think should not pass without comment, especially since we are by implication invited to look upon it as that of the German people.

Mr. Cesarz thinks of Rommel as an "honorable man and soldier," belonging to the old German military tradition, who either did not at first perceive the evil in Hitler or thought himself as a military man removed altogether from the necessity of being concerned with that evil. I do not admire the military tradition and heritage of Germany, with nearly as much fervor as Mr. Cesarz does. Further, I believe with the judges and prosecutors at the Nuremberg trials that a man does not abrogate moral responsibility when he becomes a general.

As Mr. Cesarz states, Rommel himself in time realized his moral responsibility; but to speak of the mental turmoil which resulted, the conflict between Nazism and Prussian militarism, as a "personal tragedy" is to illicit our sympathy in a conflict, the outcome of which is indifferent to us. One does not side wholeheartedly with one evil against another.

To say that Hitler came to power lawfully may be true if you consider only the laws governing the appointment of a prime minister from the majority party. But the strong-armed methods used by the National Socialists in gaining that majority cannot be defended as legal. A political party with a private army of thugs is not a party whose leader can legally come to power in a state run by law.

My understanding is that the Prussian military group supported the National Socialists at the time of Hitler's rise to power. And I cannot sympathize with these military leaders because later they realized they had bitten off more than they could chew.

I do not mean to be disrespectful to Mr. Cesarz or the German people, but I lament that some Germans defend what is ugly and evil in their culture instead of praising and emphasizing all those many features of it which are so beautiful and good.
John C. Weston, Jr.

New Odor

For better or worse, basketball is with us again. And judging from that opening game Friday in Seattle, it's strictly for the better.

There's nothing like a smashing upset to make the crowds forget all about the scandals and dirty messes of the past. Idaho's 56-51 victory over all-powerful University of Washington comes in the smashing upset category.

The Vandal victory ended a string that had extended to 23 wins for the Huskies on their home ground. The nowhere-ranked Idahoans probably also helped boost the Huskies off the top end of the national rankings. It's good to see an underdog come through. Twice as good in this particular instance.—D. D.

From the Morgue...

20 YEARS AGO

Jan. 10, 1932—The Washington State Cougars defeated Oregon by a score of 33-21 last night. The Cougars stilled for the final four minutes of play and Oregon never touched the ball.

Happy New Year



"Sometimes I suspect Professor Snarf isn't always fair with his students—he always seems a little edgy after final exams."