

OREGON *Daily* EMERALD

ANNE CRAVEN Editor	ANNAMAE WINSHIP Business Manager
MARGUERITE WITWER Managing Editor	PATSY MALONEY Advertising Manager
WINIFRED ROMTVEDT News Editor	
LOUISE MONTAG, PEGGY OVERLAND Associate Editors	
Jane Richardson, Phyllis Perkins, Virginia Scholl, Mary Margaret Ellsworth, Norris Yates, City Desk Editors	Darrell Boone, Photographer Shirley Peters, Chief Night Editor Betty Bennett, Music Editor Gloria Campbell, Mary K. Minor Maryann Howard, Assistant News Editor Librarians Jack Craig, World News Editor
EDITORIAL BOARD Norris Yates, Edith Newton	

Published daily during the college year except Sundays, Mondays, and holidays and final examination periods by the Associated Students, University of Oregon.
Entered as second-class matter at the postoffice, Eugene, Oregon.

Looking Ahead

Journalists Cite Need for Radio Courses, Added Staff Members

The question "What future do you believe the journalism school has, and what developments and changes would you like to see for the postwar period?" was asked faculty members and senior students of the school of journalism to form an overall opinion on the subject which will come first to University journalists when the war is won.

The major problem brought to the front was the manpower shortage, which has struck the journalism school with acute force. The second suggestion almost always concerned radio journalism, a course now absent from the curriculum, but which seems fairly certain for the near future.

"Journalism offers excellent postwar opportunities in its several aspects—newspaper writing, editing, and managing; advertising in its varied forms, and the preparation of news, comment, and advertising for transmission by radio," said Acting Dean George Turnbull. "Given some natural aptitude and a willingness to work, the young man or woman can go confidently into journalism as an unusually en-

joyable and reasonably profitable field."

Here is the fourth in a series of articles putting forth student and faculty views on needed postwar improvements at the University. Anyone wishing to add to ideas expressed in these columns are welcome to contribute them to the Emerald for publication.

Price Discounts English

That a journalism student has to bargain for another course in addition to his professional one was emphasized by Associate Professor Warren C. Price. "Economics, political science, and history are good," he said, "but it isn't likely to be English. In the postwar period, students will have to be taught to understand a great deal more of the massive problems the press has to face."

Leonard L. "Bud" Jermain, graduate assistant, declared, "The journalism school is going to grow in personnel and in the fields offered. It will retain the same high standards and carry these on in its development."

Edith Newton — Professors should encourage more students to work on the Emerald and the Oregonian, and even without professorial supervision of these activities, the school should give scholastic credit for these activities in order to give them more actual newspaper experience.

Added Faculty Needed

Jean Taylor—The key, of course, to the entire postwar future of the journalism school is the faculty. There should be more instructors included in it. We might have courses on book reviewing and criticism, and a combination course of journalism and politics.

Phyllis Churchman—I definitely feel that the journalism school should include radio courses coordinated with it, rather than separate departments. All the facilities should be enlarged to provide for the returning veterans. I might even go so far as to say, we need a whole new building.

Carol Cook Sibulsky—Well, we need a bigger staff for one thing, to give us a large scope of journalism. We could use a course on journalism. (Please turn to page three)

Future BWOs . . .

For two years Oregon's women students have been basking in the activity spotlight, holding major campus positions in the absence of male competitors gone to war. BWOs, who are enjoying to the fullest their new responsibilities, may well hark back to normal times on the campus, just three years ago, when other BWOs took a back seat in the race for top activity posts which were open to both men and women.

In those days, after working in activities for three years, only the extremely exceptional or lucky coed in a few cases won an important office from her male competitor. Men dominated the jobs which no doubt could have been handled equally well by women, if the latter had been given the chance to demonstrate their ability. Now women have been given that chance and have proved their capability in handling man-size activity jobs. But coed underclassmen who will be qualified to step into their places may not find their paths so unobstructed.

With an announcement by Dean Earl that between 200 and 500 more discharged veterans are expected to return to the University next fall, BWOs and prospective BWOs will no doubt face some competition. Many of these veterans who will be freshmen will be the same age as junior and senior coeds next year. Some men will even be older than the coeds, for the University expects veterans up to 35 will return to school. But male students don't relish the idea of female superiors, especially when these superiors are of the same age or younger than they. Disregarding the veterans who believe campus activities are foolish after the fighting they have been through, those who do go out for activities with an eye toward the posts which women are now handling may expect to win over coeds who have spent three years at the University working up in activities.

Most coed underclassmen who are spending long hours on activities gaining valuable experience to fit them for top campus positions when they become seniors, aren't readily going to relinquish their jobs to returned veterans who have not gone through a college-activity maze. The situation could right itself within a few years if the returning men would be willing to start at the bottom with freshman coeds in the activity race.—B.L.S.

Short Subjects . . .

Spring term. The magnolia trees bloom on the campus and the sidewalks swarm with bright cotton dresses, and once again the tennis courts and the millrace become the most popular spots on the campus. And once again the mildewed question of "correct" campus attire must be answered.

It seems that some of our prim neighbors have been elevating eyebrows at the annual spectacle of lovely, longlegged coeds in brief shorts wandering around the sidewalks or tanning on living organization lawns. It seems that the elderly "for 21 years I been workin' here" caretaker of the University tennis courts has been quoting non-existent rules to women students wearing halters and ordering them to dress in blouses on the courts.

Actually there is only one rule pertaining to sports clothes on the campus, according to Dean of Men Earl, and this regulation requests all men to wear shirts on the tennis courts and, supposedly, on campus. The physical education department does not specify the type or amount of clothing to be worn on the courts; director of dormitories, Mrs. Turnipseed, lists no rules; Dean of Women Wickham, high priestess of campus emilypostia, has no plush-covered Victorian-age volume of laws concerning campus clothing.

Ten years ago the late Mrs. Hazel P. Schwering, then dean of women, attempted to regulate women's clothing and tabooed the wearing of shorts on the courts and on campus. The rule has never been strictly observed.

Independence and maturity of the students is impaired when rules are allowed to regulate every action and almost every

Globally Speaking

By BILL SINNOTT

Mr. Hind's eulogy of the Spanish dictator could have appeared without change in either the "Volkskischer Beobachter" or the "Arriba." We were not aware that such an ultramontane bigot as Mr. Hinds appears to be existing in the 20th century. It is too bad that our "Soldier of the Hooked Cross" did not live in the days of Torquemada.

We were reluctant to enter the controversy over Mr. Hind's article in Tuesday's Emerald. We are ashamed to confess that we, too, were for Franco once. Like so many other conservatives, the occupation of Prague by the Wehrmacht made us see the light.

We believed that the Spanish Civil war was a contest between the individualistic Spanish tradition and an alien pseudo-Marxist collectivism that could never succeed in Spain. The leading articles of J. L. Garvin, the editorial mouthpiece of the Cliveden set, in the London Observer expressed our then ideas perfectly.

Welcomed Anti-Red Fight

Franco was a "Christian gentleman," fighting to save western civilization from the onrushing Red tide. We were afraid that western Europe was going Communist. The Popular Front had just won the French elections. How wonderful, we thought, if Hitler and the Russians should fight. Both Hitler and Stalin would battle each other to the death and a new democratic regime would be set up in Germany and Russia.

Mr. Hind's article contains

enough inaccuracies to shame even a professor of political science. "Francisco Franco Salgado-Aranjo was born at Ferrol, Galicia, December 8, 1892." Francisco Franco y Bahamonde was born at Ferrol, Galicia, December 12, 1892.

"In 1925 the tribesmen of Abd-el-Krim lately returned from shooting Germans in France and suffering from boredom, butchered 10,000 Spaniards in Morocco. A full army corps was sent to civilize these barbarians. These 50,000 well-equipped European soldiers were badly defeated and inflamed by easy victories, the Riffs came down into French territory like a wolf on the fold. New Spanish forces were sent to save the French and reconquer Spanish Morocco. Franco planned and executed the final battle that finished the Riffs and captured Abd-el-Krim."

Spain Neutral

The tribesmen of Abd-el-Krim did not fight in the last war as Spain was neutral. General Silvestre's army of 250,000 poorly-equipped men was defeated in 1923 by the Riffs. This defeat caused

thought of the individual. Mrs. Wickham believes that less formal rules concerning clothing and more careful adherence by the students to the standard conceptions of good taste will solve the problem. Styles and fashions and ideas of correctness vary each year, but common sense and good taste are unchangeable. Most college women are old enough to know which clothes are most flattering and just how few clothes can be worn without detracting from their attractiveness. If a woman looks good in shorts and a halter or in anything else she chooses to wear, there is no reason why anyone should discriminate against her. It is only natural that students, confined to classrooms part of each day, should want to get as much sunshine as possible during spring term.

On tennis courts all over the nation, shorts or very brief skirts worn with halters are accepted as regulation tennis outfits. The briefest of two-piece swim suits are permitted in pools. Tennis courts are no more public than swimming pools or beaches. The regulation that men must wear shirts seems unnecessary. With men, as with women, the choice of what and how much to wear should be made by the individual.

Letters To the Editor

Dear Editor:

May I add a word in the discussion of Dictator Franco's part in the present situation? At the suggestion of the German Nazi government he has systematically subsidized an anti-American campaign among our neighbors to the south. This campaign attacks the political and economic interests of the United States and also our national faith in democratic institutions. He has opposed bitterly the present attempt of the American government to bring all the states of the western hemisphere together in the interest of world peace. Readers who are interested in these activities of the Spanish government will find interesting accounts in R. Joseph's "Argentine Diary," and in an article by Margaret Shedd "Thunder on the Right in Mexico," published in the April number of Harper's magazine.

Sincerely,

HENRY D. SHELDON

the king to make Primo de Rivera dictator.

The Spaniards were saved because Abd-el-Krim invaded French Morocco. France sent large forces under Petain that captured Abd-el-Krim in 1926.

Franco was the leader of the military revolt in 1936 solely because General San Jurjo, who had been slated for the chief command, was killed the day the revolution began.

"The godless Bolsheviks held Catholic Spain." The only truly religious people in Spain—the Basques—were violently pro-Loyalist. Cardinal Vidal y Barraquer, the bishop of Tarragona, was outspokenly in favor of the legal government.

Seeing Double

Mr. Hinds claims 3,000,000 Falangists supported Franco. The Falangists were a minute party in 1936. The Falange only waxed after 1939, when the Franco regime made it the only political party. "Over 400,000 Americans flocked to the banner of Europe." The foreign volunteers on the Franco side amounted to 6000, mainly Irishmen recruited by General O'Duffy. This does not include the "heroes of Guadalajara" or the German airmen who civilized Guernica.

How horrible that the Loyalists stabled horses in a cathedral. (Please turn to page three)