

The News-Review

Published Daily Except Sunday by the
News-Review Company, Inc.
Entered as second class matter May 1, 1920, at the post office at
Roseburg, Oregon, under act of March 3, 1879.
CHARLES V. STANTON, Editor and Manager
Member of the Associated Press, Oregon Newspaper Publishers
Association, the Audit Bureau of Circulations
Represented by WEST-HOLLIDAY CO., INC., offices in New York, Chicago,
San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, Portland, Denver
SUBSCRIPTION RATES—In Oregon—By Mail—Per Year, \$12.00; six months, \$6.50;
three months, \$3.50. Outside Oregon—By Mail—Per Year, \$13.00; six months,
\$7.00; three months, \$3.50.
By News-Review Carrier—Per Year, \$13.00 (in advance), less than one year,
per month, \$1.25.

FREEDOMS FORUM

By Charles V. Stanton

Having interrupted the routine of our office by a three-day vacation trip, we almost overlooked the fact that the period from Oct. 1 to 8 is being observed as National Newspaper Week.

During this week we are hearing a great deal of praise for the press and its influence. During the balance of the year we'll hear much criticism, particularly from those politicians who find in the press an obstacle to fulfillment of their personal ambitions.

We hear of the "monopoly" of the press, the "one-party press," a "controlled press," and many other such charges.

The press is not above criticism. In fact, the press is its own toughest critic.

Through its own agencies it is constantly examining itself. The American Newspaper Publishers Association, The American Society of Editors, The National Editorial Association, are typical of the organizations maintained by the country's newspapers to improve the service given by the press and to provide constructive criticism.

Newspapers and advertising agencies cooperate in the Audit Bureau of Circulations, an organization designed to analyze circulation standings of newspapers and certify accuracy of publisher claims to advertising customers.

News Contents Watched

Nearly all daily newspapers subscribe to a wire service. This newspaper is served by The Associated Press. The Associated Press is a membership organization set up for mutual collection and dissemination of news. A regional meeting of the managing editors of Associated Press member newspapers will be held in Seattle October 29-30 at which time there will be a critical examination of the wire report furnished newspapers in Oregon and Washington. Similar meetings will be held in other states and regions. A national meeting will review the findings of state and regional groups. In addition to these annual meetings, various committees are at work throughout the year on a continuing study.

This newspaper also is a member of the Oregon Newspaper Publishers Association. Conventions are held twice each year by the Oregon papers to study all phases of editing and publishing.

Many other organizations exist within the press itself to maintain critical examination.

The press is aware of its imperfections and is constantly striving for the highest plane attainable.

Prestige Challenged

The press once consisted only of newspapers and magazines. Today, as we consider the press, we include radio, television and, to a lesser degree, motion pictures. All serve to collect and disseminate news, ideas and information.

Only a comparatively few years ago, every town of any size had two newspapers. These newspapers were largely political organs. News was slanted toward the political affiliation of the newspaper.

Economic conditions forced consolidations. Thus we have fewer newspapers today. This offers opportunity for political critics to cry about "monopoly." But the newspapers, through their own critical processes, built up a program whereby these so-called monopoly newspapers endeavor to give a balanced and unbiased news report, completely separate and apart from the editorial expressions and opinions of the newspaper.

In the "good ol' days" the subscriber read only the newspaper representing his own party. The news he received was heavily slanted toward his party. He seldom read the "opposition" paper. But today's "monopoly" newspapers give the reader the news from both sides of the political fence, endeavoring to the best of its ability to eliminate all bias from its news columns. The editorial column, on the other hand, remains strictly the province of the editor for the expression of the newspaper's political opinions.

Furthermore, the reader in the "good ol' days" usually subscribed to only the one party organ. But today he may be a subscriber to two or more newspapers, together with several magazines. He listens to the radio, watches television. Thus he has access to more news, information and ideas than at any other time in our history.

It should be obvious that there can be no monopoly, no one-party press, no controlled press under these conditions.

But the press still has its enemies in those persons who find the press an obstacle to their ambitions for power, regulations and controls. As "Freedom's Forum," the free press remains the greatest protection to a free people. So far it has resisted every challenge to its prestige, and it must continue to do so if we are to remain free.

Hal Boyle

NEW YORK (AP)—The rift between Marilyn Monroe and Joe DiMaggio, thrown out at home plate, is certain to cause 50 million self-appointed moralists to ask that old question:

"What is wrong with Hollywood marriages?"

But the real puzzle isn't that so many film colony marriages end up in the divorce courts. The enduring wonder is that so many people in Hollywood bother to get married at all.

Mae West once observed, reviving a joke older than the bustle: "Marriage is a great institution—but who wants to live in an institution?"

The divorce statistics obviously prove that scores of film stars don't actually want to live in this institution. They merely want visiting privileges. They go in and out of marriage as it suits their convenience.

Hollywood is a factory that for 40 years has been largely devoted to the manufacture of implausible celluloid fairy tales in which a pauper can marry a princess and any smart working girl can land a millionaire.

The formula behind most plots is simple and changeless: Boy

meets girl; there is a problem that keeps them apart; they solve the problem; he and girl then clinch in a fadeout that is a prelude to endless bliss.

That is the main thing—the happy ending.

The trouble with many deliveries in the Hollywood vineyard is that they come to believe in the plots they act out. They feel unconsciously that if they just marry all their high-tension woes will be over, and they will have put the last great rest of their lives in the can—happily.

They sometimes think of marriages as they do a sleeping pill—something to calm them down. But marriage doesn't ordinarily work that way. As countless thousands of ministers patiently preach, "Marriage isn't a happy ending—it is only a happy beginning."

What's All This Fuss About a German Problem?

"THE ONLY PROBLEM WHICH REALLY INTERESTS US, MISTER, IS—
HOW CAN WE GET REMARRIED?"



Bruce Blossat

Editorial Comment

From The Oregon Press

PROMISES HE CANNOT KEEP

Albany Democrat-Herald

Only a few times in its history has the United States Senate formally censured any of its members, but it now seems quite likely that Sen. Joseph R. McCarthy's name will be added to the list in the debate which begins Nov. 8.

A considerable number of Republican senators—perhaps 15—have indicated they will probably join an overwhelming majority of Senate Democrats in voting to accept the censure findings recently handed down by the special Watkins committee. No doubt McCarthy's loyal followers in the Senate will resist the decision stoutly. And the senator himself has given every sign of making further combat on the floor.

But it is doubtful if these developments can have more than a delaying effect on the move or censure. The main reason would seem to be that the Watkins committee conducted the censure hearings with such eminent fairness and respect for the facts that the findings are not really vulnerable to attack.

The six members—Republicans Watkins, Case of South Dakota, Carlson of Kansas, and Democrats Johnson of Colorado, Stennis of Mississippi and Ervin of North Carolina—are generally quiet, calm men held in high regard by their colleagues. They gave judicial detachment to these proceedings.

McKay Denies Reports On Moving Mines Office

ALBANY, Ore. (AP)—Persistent reports that the Bureau of Mines regional office and laboratories would be moved from here were denied here by Interior Secretary Douglas McKay.

He said that actually, his department is widening the Albany office's jurisdiction to include Alaska. He explained the move is being made to save money and to strengthen Alaska's ties with Oregon, Washington, Idaho and Montana, which now are covered by the office.

Stephen M. Shelton will continue as regional director here, McKay said.

SAFETY AWARD

LOS ANGELES (AP)—The Hughes Aircraft Co. has received the National Safety Council's highest award for establishing the world record of the greatest number of accident-free man-hours in any industry associated with aircraft manufacture.

Contrary to the sugar-coated fairy tales about life it offers for sale to the public, Hollywood itself is a pretty rugged jungle ruled by a financial and social caste system nearly as inflexible as that of old India.

A conventional type marriage simply isn't suitable for many who are caught up in this benedictine-paced tempo of nervous worry and success worship.

What Hollywood needs is a new type of marriage—in fact, several types of marriage—more adapted to its needs, such as:

1. The Publicity Marriage. Great for two starlets who can't get their names in the paper any other way. Also fine for "king stars" who need to let the public know they are still alive.

2. The Multiple or Mass Marriage. This is useful for up to five actors and five actresses who are all, sooner or later, going to swap around anyway. By marrying each other all at once they could save future divorce costs and also have to pay only for a single big wedding celebration.

3. The Contingent Marriage. Under this arrangement if either performer gets better billing than the other, he or she is automatically free to shuck off the unfortunate partner as excess cargo.

Marriages such as these would bring a realism to its marital relations that Hollywood sadly needs. Some might argue that Hollywood should re-institute the custom of trial marriages, but this is unnecessary.

Marriage is a trial, anywhere, under the best of circumstances.

ings. They cannot be assailed for yielding to any violent anti-McCarthy passions.

If such an assault should now be tried by McCarthy and his chief backers, it would not merely be liable to fail but would almost surely enhance the prospects of censure. For the Senate has confidence in this committee's judgment.

That judgment was carefully employed in this case. While the group found McCarthy's behavior in respect to the solicitation and use of confidential government documents highly improper, it recognized that the case for censure he could easily be clouded by the confusions that surround the argument how best to fight communism.

It felt itself on firmer ground, however, in censuring the senator for his evident abuse of a World War II hero, General Zwicker. Members could not see that even if Zwicker were what McCarthy charged—an uncooperative witness who provoked him—that this justified intemperate abuse of a man who already had proved his soldierly and patriotic in the heat of battle.

The committee decided, though, that the real heart of the case against McCarthy is concerned with his personal financial operations. These had been investigated with considerable energy and care by a previous Senate committee, which raised some very puzzling questions. McCarthy dealt with this prior inquiry by defying it in a manner the Watkins group believes was contemptuous.

He insulted the earlier committee, impugned its motives, and declined to discuss the subject under review—his finances. Then and many times since, the senator has sought to dismiss that investigation and any like it as a great "smear" perpetrated by Communists and others who didn't like his own purported efforts to dig out the core of a public offender's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

As a man in public office, a man who heads an appropriations subcommittee that decides how much money certain government agencies may have, McCarthy has a responsibility to demonstrate that he is financially trustworthy.

The only way to do that is to tell your colleagues, when you are challenged, how and what you have spent. These matters are not irrelevant, as some McCarthy defenders contend. They go to the core of a public officer's integrity and his right to have the people's confidence. The Watkins group has put its finger on this crucial issue.

McCarthy will not now help his cause if he tries to chop the finger off.

In The Day's News

(Continued from Page One)

will help you to the extent of our resources.
"But don't expect us to DO ALL THE FIGHTING."

Daily dose of politics:
Neuberger has been complaining about the firing (by the present administration) of considerable numbers of Bonneville employees. He has been calling on the discharged ones to stay in Oregon and "await a reprieve on election day."

What he means is:
"If we Democrats win, WE'LL PUT YOU BACK ON THE FEDERAL PAYROLL."

Interior Secretary McKay, speaking in Portland, says in answer to the Neuberger plaint:
"Bonneville had 3,000 employees when this administration took over. After the reductions we have made, Bonneville still has 500 ENGINEERS. How many do you want? These (Bonneville) engineers do not design dams. Dams are designed by the army engineers and the reclamation bureau."

"We're going to cut Bonneville down to size. BECAUSE WE ARE THINKING OF CONSUMERS AND TAXPAYERS—and not the politicians."

Good for you, Doug! I'm glad to see somebody stand up to the taxpayer now and then. He's the poor devil who carries the whole load of government on his back.
What the average taxpayer wants, I'm pretty sure, is just enough federal employees to conduct the business of the federal government efficiently—and NOT A SINGLE ONE MORE.

That seems to be what you're trying to bring about in the case of Bonneville.

Speaking this morning to the National Conference on Rural Education in Washington, Secretary of Agriculture Benson says: "We must remember that there still are plenty of farmers with only 40 acres and a mule." He adds: "That's one reason the department of agriculture is putting emphasis on research and agricultural education."

I admire and respect your views, sir, and I think you're the ablest secretary of agriculture we've had in at least two generations. But let's not forget that a whole lot of these farmers with only 40 acres and a mule are HAPPY. They like their way of life—and most of them are pretty good citizens back there in the country where a mule provides more practicable power for tilling 40 acres of hill land than a tractor.

Go on with your research and your education, but don't let the do-gooders make these 40-acre and-a-mule hill farmers unhappy and dissatisfied with their jobs.

The happiest man in the world is the man who likes his job and the UNHAPPIEST man in the world is the man who doesn't like his job. Let's not make disgruntled tractor farmers out of happy and satisfied mule farmers.

Portland Flier Guided To Field

EUGENE (AP)—A Eugene pilot led a flier from Portland through dense smoke clouds to a safe landing here Wednesday afternoon.

The pilot from Portland, whose name was not learned, was flying to Elktion near Drain. Over Junction City he became caught in thick clouds of smoke from slash fires. Visibility was less than a mile on the ground and virtually zero in the air.

The CAA office at Eugene tried to talk the flier to a safe landing by radio, but failed.

Dale Green, operator of a Eugene flying service, was flying back from Portland and heard the conservation on his radio. He began circling the other flier's plane, gradually moving in closer. He was close enough so the other flier could feel the prop wash from his plane before they finally saw each other.

Then Green, who was familiar with the area, led the Portland flier to a safe landing. He continued his trip to Elktion by auto.

Bodies Of 13 Men Killed In Crash Are Recovered

WILLOWS, Calif. (AP)—The bodies of 13 men killed in the crash of an Air Force B50 weather reconnaissance plane have been recovered. Sheriff Lyle Sale announced Thursday.

Three crewmen parachuted to safety just before the big plane crashed near here Wednesday night, and a fourth was thrown clear.

tobacco is hardly a famine-relief commodity and the world at large has yet to be educated into stemming hunger with peanuts. Furthermore it has been pointed out by Oregon State college agricultural experts that wheat farmers, to compensate for acreage restrictions, have been intensifying chemical fertilization which has impaired the quality of wheat by reducing its protein content, whereby it is rejected by millers. This means that many wheat farmers are growing wheat for the market—another reason why American surplus wheat meets a cool reception in foreign countries, whereby future demand for American wheat may suffer because of bad reputation.

These are all things that Neuberger can do nothing about, which robs his promises of substance and brands him either as thoughtless or demagogic. He can't cure prosperity by merely taking money away from one group and giving it to another, and he can't make Asiatics accept wheat as a substitute for rice.



Wait—let's phone 3-4494 first—and Manager Carl Kelley will have the money for our fall repairs ready to pick up.

COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL FINANCE CORP.
THE OLDEST FINANCE COMPANY IN SOUTHERN OREGON
334 N. Main St. Roseburg, Oregon Ph. 34494

THESE VALUES ARE TAGGED FOR YOU

OK USED CAR

Top Used Car Buys

✓ Inspected
✓ Reconditioned
✓ OK Warranted

'52 Buick Riviera '51 Buick Super
This popular hard top is Riviera 4-door model. 2 tops — 2 tone red and tone. Fully equipped in top black custom trim. New condition and priced at...
w/w tires, Dynaflo. Really tops. **\$1495**

\$2095 '51 Nash Statesman
4 door with hydromatic transmission. Radio and heater — seats fold into bed too. **\$965**

'52 Willys Aero
6 cylinder F head motor, overdrive. Radio and heater. New metallic grey paint at... **\$995**

'49 DeSoto Club Cpe.
Automatic transmission. Radio and heater, good rubber and metallic green. Priced right. **\$795**

'52 Stude. Comm'er
Latest V8 motor, overdrive. Radio and heater — very good throughout. **\$1395**

'50 Chev. Club Cpe.
A good little car, light grey, fine rubber, nice seat cover, radio and heater. **\$895**