


Revival Meeting Sponsored By North Umpqua Church



'CONTRAPTION' — The Rev. T. C. Newby of Caldwell, Idaho, is shown at an instrument which he calls a "contraption." The Rev. Mr. Newby will demonstrate his ability to produce music from the instrument at revival services, now in progress at the Church of God of Prophecy on the North Umpqua Road.

An old-fashioned revival meeting is now in progress at the Church of God of Prophecy 12 miles east of Roseburg on the North Umpqua Road.

The Rev. Harrison E. Price, formerly of Texas, and the Rev. T. C. Newby of Caldwell, Idaho, are doing the preaching each night. The Rev. Mr. Price has traveled all over the states and in the British West Indies in revival campaigns. He sings and plays the guitar. The Rev. Mr. Newby has traveled through the United States and Canada for a number of years. He sings and plays the "contraption," a very unusual instrument.

Eddie Mounce of Pampa, Tex., Joe Cunningham of Charleston, Miss., and Bill Nedrow of Middleton, Idaho, will furnish special music each night. These boys are all under 20 years of age.

The Rev. Clark Baldozier, local pastor of the church, announces that services will begin each evening at 7:30 for the next two weeks, and that the public is invited to attend these services.

Revival services will be held Friday at 2 p.m. in the Eden-Lutheran Church in Elgarose. The Rev. Elmer Peters will officiate. The Chapel of the Roses, Roseburg Funeral Home, is in charge of the arrangements. Interment will follow in the Eden-Lutheran Cemetery.

Surviving are two sons, Joseph H. and David N. Sand, both of Elgarose; three brothers, Paul, Henry and Daniel Sand, all of Sweden; three sisters, Naemia Johansson; Maja Carlson and Alice Samuelson, all of Sweden, and eight grandchildren.

Funeral services will be held Friday at 2 p.m. in the Eden-Lutheran Church in Elgarose. The Rev. Elmer Peters will officiate. The Chapel of the Roses, Roseburg Funeral Home, is in charge of the arrangements. Interment will follow in the Eden-Lutheran Cemetery.

Angling in a closed area has resulted in fines of \$14.50 each for two Roseburg men, according to justice court records at Grants Pass. The men are William Frederick Forest and John Lee Perkins.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

The Tri-City PTA meeting, regularly scheduled for the first Thursday of each month, has been postponed until Jan. 14. On that date, Dad's will have charge of the program.

MEETING POSTPONED

Tenmile Family Survives Wreck

Six members of a Tenmile family just missed death or critical injury this week when the auto they were riding in hurtled over a 50-foot cliff and into an oak tree.

The accident occurred on the Redwood highway near Grants Pass Monday. State police reported the oak tree saved the car and occupants from plunging into the Applegate River.

State police said Mrs. Verne Maisenbach fell asleep at the wheel enroute home from a holiday trip to California. She was awakened by the skidding on a soft shoulder, police said. Reacting suddenly, she jerked the wheel to the left, the car went over the opposite bank.

Passengers in the car were the driver's husband, and their four children, Jean 17, Ralph 16, Kay Ann, 11 and David, 4. All were hospitalized except Ralph. Mrs. Maisenbach is being returned to Roseburg for additional treatment of a broken wrist and injured knee, reports Correspondent Louisa Coats.

Maisenbach is an employee of the Forest Service.

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

warranted may depend largely upon a very technical aspect of this whole problem—the determination of precisely how much of the cost of each "partnership" project Uncle Sam is liable for. Today when a federal dam built by the army is completed, the Federal Power Commission decides how much of the total costs shall be charged to power and how much can be attributed to flood control, navigation or other benefits which are not repayable.

This is no cut and dried procedure, because after the powerhouse has been charged to power and the loan charged to navigation, one must decide how to split up the cost of the concrete balwerk itself which dams the river, part to flood control, part to power, part to navigation, etc. Obviously there is no clear line between each function of the dam.

To show how the results may vary, when the FPC reviewed Bonneville Dam a decade ago it settled for 50 percent of the joint facilities to be repaid from power revenue, the rest to be written off to navigation and flood control. But just a few weeks ago the FPC tentatively decided that the same facilities at McNary Dam, a similar project, should be 97.5 percent repaid from power revenue, with only 2.5 percent written off.

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

But if "partnership" means the government writing off a major share of the project, as in the Bonneville Dam case, then once again, as now, each project's progress would depend upon a generous Congress each spring when appropriations are voted. Whether Congress might become more generous under "partnership" plan in which utilities develop the electric features and collect the revenue is impossible to forecast, but it would likely depend on which philosophy of government dominated Congress at the time.

One other possible obstacle in 1954 to a partnership, or any other plan for hydro development

Share of Cost Key

The point here is that if "partnership" means the utilities will pay the great bulk of the project's cost, as in the McNary case, then this plan may well become attractive to budget pruner's such as Dodge. It could get new projects underway in the next few years, a development the region sorely needs to avoid severe power shortages in the 1960s. It will also mean higher power rates, which may in turn deter new industrial growth.

Form Of Partnership Key To Congress' OK

(Continued from Page One)

Frank LeRoy (Roy) Edwards, 65, well-known resident of Umpqua, died at a local hospital Tuesday following a brief illness.

He was born Sept. 6, 1888, at Vancouver, Wash., and came to Douglas County 40 years ago. He was married to Bessie Decker at Roseburg March 9, 1915. He was a general farmer at Umpqua.

Surviving are his wife, Bessie, Umpqua; two daughters, Mrs. Enoch (Lois) Holland, Roseburg, and Mrs. Ralph (Maxine) Frisbie, Lookingglass, a son, Jean Edwards, Roseburg; his mother, Mrs. Clara Edwards, Centralia, Wash.; one brother, Alfred, Centralia; three sisters, Mrs. James Patterson, Beaverton; Nellie Edwards, Portland; and Mrs. Elmer Nockley, Willamina; and one grandson, Nicky Holland, Roseburg.

Funeral services will be held in the chapel of the Long & Orr Mortuary Friday at 2 p.m. with the Rev. Raymond Schaefer of the First Baptist Church officiating. Concluding services and vault interment will follow in the family plot in Coles Valley Cemetery.

Funeral services will be held in the chapel of the Long & Orr Mortuary Friday at 2 p.m. with the Rev. Raymond Schaefer of the First Baptist Church officiating. Concluding services and vault interment will follow in the family plot in Coles Valley Cemetery.

Funeral services will be held in the chapel of the Long & Orr Mortuary Friday at 2 p.m. with the Rev. Raymond Schaefer of the First Baptist Church officiating. Concluding services and vault interment will follow in the family plot in Coles Valley Cemetery.

Funeral services will be held in the chapel of the Long & Orr Mortuary Friday at 2 p.m. with the Rev. Raymond Schaefer of the First Baptist Church officiating. Concluding services and vault interment will follow in the family plot in Coles Valley Cemetery.

Funeral services will be held in the chapel of the Long & Orr Mortuary Friday at 2 p.m. with the Rev. Raymond Schaefer of the First Baptist Church officiating. Concluding services and vault interment will follow in the family plot in Coles Valley Cemetery.

Funeral services will be held in the chapel of the Long & Orr Mortuary Friday at 2 p.m. with the Rev. Raymond Schaefer of the First Baptist Church officiating. Concluding services and vault interment will follow in the family plot in Coles Valley Cemetery.

Funeral services will be held in the chapel of the Long & Orr Mortuary Friday at 2 p.m. with the Rev. Raymond Schaefer of the First Baptist Church officiating. Concluding services and vault interment will follow in the family plot in Coles Valley Cemetery.

Funeral services will be held in the chapel of the Long & Orr Mortuary Friday at 2 p.m. with the Rev. Raymond Schaefer of the First Baptist Church officiating. Concluding services and vault interment will follow in the family plot in Coles Valley Cemetery