

Roseburg News-Review

Member of The Associated Press
The Associated Press is exclusive-
ly entitled to the use for publica-
tion of all news dispatches credited
to it or not otherwise credited in
this paper and to all local news
published herein. All rights of re-
production of special dispatches
herein are also reserved.

HARRIS ELLSWORTH, Editor

Entered as second class matter
May 11, 1928, at the post office at
Roseburg, Oregon, under act of
March 3, 1879.

Represented by

WILLIAMS-MOENSTEN

San Francisco—220 Bush Street.
Chicago—360 North Michigan Ave.
New York—122 Broadway.
Portland—21 East 40th Street, Portland.
—Bedell Bldg.

Subscription Rates
Daily, per year by mail, \$4.00
Daily, 3 months by mail, \$1.20
Daily, 6 months by mail, \$2.40
Daily, single month by mail, .50
Daily, by carrier per month, .50

Military Training Bill.

THE fourth measure on the bal-
lot appears under this head-
ing: "Noncompulsory Military
Training Bill." It is not, fortun-
ately, a proposed constitutional amend-
ment and if passed will have only
the same standing as any other
law. Constitutional amendments,
once passed, are pretty permanent
things.

The title of this measure is con-
fusing. In other words to vote in
favor of military training at the
college and university, you must
vote "no," and vice versa. The
complete title on the ballot reads
in part: "No student in attendance
... shall be compelled, etc." It
would have been much simpler and
infinitely easier to understand had
the proposal read: "Male students
... shall be required ..."

This is another instance of vot-
ers of the entire state being asked
to pass upon an administrative
matter pertaining to the institu-
tions of higher education. The
proposition of student fees was
voted upon at the last election.
Doubtless some day we shall be
asked to vote upon hiring faculty
members. The state board of high-
er education is capable of decid-
ing this matter just as it is deemed
able to determine other compul-
sory courses.

Many sincere people insist upon
regarding student military training
as preparation for war. They op-
pose military training as a part of
a campaign against war. There is
not space enough on this page to
develop this argument and answer
it. But it is absurd, isn't it, to
think that because a young man is
taught the rudiments of military
drill in college he thereupon wants
to fight in a war?

An amateur soldier is surely more
of a pacifist than the professional,
and yet if we abolish citizen train-
ing the professional soldier must
gradually assume a role of greater
importance. We MUST have a na-
tional defense system and a par-
tial citizen and part-professional
personnel surely is much less warlike
than a defense system of profes-
sional soldiers only.

A "yes" vote on this measure
will be a vote to abolish a portion
of the non-professional defense
system and a feather in the cap
of the professional. Vote "No."

Editorials on News

(Continue from page 1.)

say so, but it works the same way
with governments.

IT WOULD be wonderful if we
COULD go on spending and
spending and spending, regardless
of income, and never have to pay
the fiddler, but all history proves
that it can't be done. Somewhere
later, the bills come due.

GOVERNOR MARTIN is as
sound as anybody can be when
he says that Oregon must spend as
carefully that she can go on pay-
ing her bills without borrowing
more money. The federal govern-
ment has been spending so reck-
lessly for the past three years and
will probably have to go on spend-
ing so heavily for several years to
come that it behooves the states,
the cities, the counties, the school
districts, etc., to spend as cautiously
as they possibly can. That is
about the only way we can hope
to stay solvent.

Suffers Minor Injuries — Miss
Eva Baker suffered minor injuries
yesterday, when she was thrown
from a horse while riding with her
camp fire group yesterday on Sug-
ar Pine hill. She is confined to her
home at 510 South Jackson street
today.

One Word Led To Another

By
Bugs Baer



(Copyright, 1936, King Features
Syndicate Inc.)
Trotzky Makes a Blotzky

This fellow Trotzky is as well-
come as a mouse in a sugar bowl.
Everybody wants him like a rabbi
in court. The last we heard of him
was in the early part of Sep-
tember when he was in Nor-
way doing as the Russians do.
When he was in Rome he did as
the Russians do. When he was in
Russia he did as the Russians
don't.

When he travels, it is in a
private car sealed on both ends
like a can of condensed milk.
He ain't allowed to look out of
him windows, make rearplat-
form speeches or oggle nurse-
maids. Like Philip Nolan, the
man without a country, Trot-
zky is a bum de luxe.

When Trotzky went to Norway
he promised not to mess up in
international chicanery and rack-
ets. He hadn't been in Oslo five
minutes before he had broken his
promise, his spectacles and his
fountain pen. His word is as good
as his bond, and his bond is as
good as his word. But the word
is "nuts."

Trotzky is a peculiar-looking
fish with eyes like the little
holes that clams breathe
through in mud, a manner as
mild as microbes working in
milk and a face that a moth-
er would repudiate. A straggly
chinstrap dangles off his sour
puss and nobody would trust
him any further than he could
throw feathers against the
wind. He has been chased out
of every country in Europe but
he cannot help plotting be-
tween meals.

The answer is that Trotzky is a
natural schemer who is against
the existing form of government even
when it doesn't exist. When Trot-
zky was the government in Mos-
cow, he denounced his reflection
in the mirror while shaving and
hanged himself on the chin with
the wrong end of the shaving
brush. He was about to assassi-
nate himself with his own razor.
Suddenly he realized that the soap
on his face was no disguise, ex-
cept in Russia.

Trotzky is a natural con-
spirator. The world is too
small for him but he ain't too
big for the world. He was
chased out of all the European
countries and is now in Nor-
way among the Model T
Fjords.

Trotzky lives in a six-room cot-
tage. He stays in one room and
conspires against the other five.

If Trotzky was the left half
of the Siamese twins, he would
get rid of the other guy in a
hurry in front of a firing
squad, with instructions to aim
very carefully. Even if the
squad missed and got the
wrong guy, Trotzky would pass
out happy in the belief that he
died trying.

LANDON

By Ralph E. Morrison

THE LEADER

Can Governor Landon, if elected
president, change the current drift
toward government spending and
wasteful government aid? This
question was asked by a young
man.

In Landon's campaign for gov-
ernor of Kansas, he told every au-
dience "I am in dead earnest" in
referring to the savings he expected
and the lowering of taxes pro-
gram. When elected he had to deal
both with Democrats and Republi-
cans in the legislature to obtain
laws to make his promises good.
He took off his coat and waded in
with determination—not, it is true,
in the same manner as President
Franklin Roosevelt did or Presi-
dent Theodore Roosevelt did or Presi-
dent Wilson did. In many
ways he is much more effective in
getting results than any of these
three men, for he is not a politician
but a man who works with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as a man who
works with them, rather than against
them, he worked with his legisla-
ture in a harmonious capacity. He
reasoned with them in a quiet way
and obtained cooperation. He said,
"We must do this because the in-
telligent people demand it." He
didn't use the personal pronoun.
He worked in "dead earnest" as he
promised to do in his campaign
speeches, but by dominating them-
selves by not people, not as an ally
in their cause, but as