

CHIROPRACTIC

In the modern science of restoring health through the nerves.

Chiropractic

Allied with ELECTROTHERAPY in restoring thousands of sufferers to health who have failed to get relief any other way. They may suffer from Rheumatism, Lumbago, Neuritis, Stomach and Bowel trouble, Headache, Backache, Nervousness, Gout, High Blood Pressure, and other troubles, when

Electro-Chiropractic

Is within your reach to prove what it can do for you.

Electro-Chiropractic

IS SAFE, SANE AND SURE.

Let us make a complete examination of your case, describe our methods to you without a cent of cost. Then you do what you think best.

DR. RUPERT A. MOON

324 Perkins Bldg. Phone 554

Governor Pierce Signs Two More Revenue Bills and Is Ready to Cut Appropriations

(Associated Press Leased Wire.) SALEM, Ore., Mar. 4.—Governor Pierce today signed two more of the revenue bills that were passed by the legislature on recommendation of the ways and means committee to aid in relieving the state's financial straits. They were house bills 455 and 502, the former taxing the gross earnings of utilities and the latter requiring self-supporting state activities to pay ten percent of their receipts into the general fund of the state. The measures are calculated to produce approximately \$80,000 and \$200,000 for the biennium. Another bill signed today, which would approve the bill appropriating \$100,000 for the public service commission. The appropriation measure had lain in the governor's office four days when he sent notice to the ways and means committee that it would be vetoed the following day unless the grossing bill reached him in the meantime. The ways and means committee, at a hastily called meeting, instructed Chairman Gordon of the house committee to attempt to rush the utilities tax bill through three readings and to final passage in the house, this to be followed by similar action in the senate if the bill passed the house. Gordon was a member of the organization in the house, and he kept faith with the committee, however, but failed to railroad the bill. The result was that the governor vetoed the service commission appropriation. Subsequently the utilities tax bill was passed over the governor's veto without opposition.

The schedule of taxes imposed upon the railroads and utilities by the measure follows: When the annual gross operating revenue of the public utility or railroad is less than: \$5,000—\$10. \$5,000 or less than \$10,000—\$20. \$10,000 or less than \$20,000—\$50. \$20,000 or less than \$50,000—\$100. \$50,000 or less than \$100,000—\$150. \$100,000 or less than \$200,000—\$200. \$200,000 or less than \$400,000—\$400. \$400,000 or less than \$800,000—\$800. \$800,000 or less than \$1,500,000—\$1,200. \$1,500,000 or less than \$2,000,000—\$1,600. \$2,000,000 or less than \$3,000,000—\$2,000. \$3,000,000 or less than \$6,000,000—\$2,400. \$6,000,000 or over, \$3,000. The ten percent of receipts from self-sustaining state activities that must be paid into the general funds affects the following activities:

- Aeronautic examiners; board of architect examiners; banking department; barber examiners; chiropractic examiners; dairy bull registration; dairy and food commission; dental examiners; state engineer; engineer examiners; labor commissioner and inspector of factories and workshops; supreme court jury; law enforcement; board for examination of nurses; public service commission; station registration board; board of medical examiners; board of optometry examiners; board of pharmacy examiners; fish commission; game commission; insurance department; state market agent.
- Other bills signed today by the governor were:
- H. B. 487—Appropriation money to pay various claims.
 - H. B. 504—Money to county fairs.
 - H. B. 501—Alloping pharmacy board to retain all fees collected from vendors and hawkers.
 - H. B. 471—Money for salaries and expenses of circuit judges and district attorneys.
 - H. B. 516—Appropriation for budget available for state board of control.
 - H. B. 511—Money for Lane county fair.
- To Make Slashes
SALEM, Ore., Mar. 4.—Governor Pierce has yet to make slashes from appropriation bills amounting to about \$150,000 before he reaches

COOLIDGE SAYS TAX BURDEN TO BE LIGHTENED

(Continued from page one)

tion to extremely high tax rates because "they produce little or no revenue, because they are bad for the country, and finally, because they are wrong."

"The verdict of the country has been given on this question," he added. "That verdict stands. We shall do well to heed it."

Prefacing his discussion of America's relation to the world with the declaration that "our country represents nothing but peaceful intentions toward all the earth," Mr. Coolidge sets forth ways and means of manifesting that spirit while at the same time maintaining "such a military force as comports with the dignity and security of great people."

He reiterated his advocacy of American adherence to the Permanent Court of International Justice; declared that America having taken the lead in the movement for limitation of armaments, "that the nation must continue to hold" favored continuation of the policy of participation in world conferences "whenever conditions are such that there is even a promise that practical and favorable results might be secured," bespoke continuation of aid to mitigate human suffering and assist in the rehabilitation of distressed nations, and expressed sympathy with the movement to clarify international law and to bring about the outlawing of aggressive war.

"We have made a great contribution to the settlement of contentions and differences in Europe and Asia," he said in concluding his discussion of foreign affairs. "But there is a very definite point beyond which we can not go. We can only help those who help themselves. Mindful of these limitations, the one great duty that stands out requires us to use our enormous powers to trim the balance of the world."

Only once in his address did Mr. Coolidge refer to the election which today brought him to the presidency for a term in his own right and that was after he had declared strongly in favor of strict party responsibility, adding that "common honesty and good faith with the people who support a party at the polls require that party, when it enters office, to assume control of that portion of the government to which it has been elected."

This administration has come into power with a very clear and definite mandate from the people," he said. "The people declared that they wanted their rights to have not a political but a judicial determination, and their independence and freedom continued and supported by having the ownership and control of their property, not in the government, but in their hands."

Pressing further his discussion of domestic affairs, he declared that "those who want their rights respected under the constitution and the law ought to set the example themselves of observing the constitution and the law" and that "we cannot permit any inquisition either within or without the law or apply any religious test to the holding of office."

"America," he concluded, "seeks no earthly empire built on blood and force. No ambition, no temptation lures her to thought of foreign dominions. The legions which she sends forth are armed, not with the sword, but with the cross. The higher state to which mankind is not of human but of divine origin. She cherishes no purpose save to merit the favor of Almighty God."

WELLS & CHASE BUILDING READY

The new business block on North Jackson street that has been building since early in the winter for Wells & Chase, is practically completed and the piece will be opened last of this week or first of next as an automobile sales room and accessories supply house. Messrs. Wells and Chase are the local dealers for Volleys, Knight and Overland cars, and will move in their stock within a few days. They will carry a stock of repair parts and accessories for these cars, so that the owners can get service right here on shortest possible notice. These people came here last summer and liked Roseburg so well that they bought homes and located permanently. Later they bought the lot on Jackson street, but winter set in before the construction work on the building was fairly under way. This fact delayed the construction, but work was prosecuted with all possible dispatch, and the work has finally been completed.

DAWES URGES A CHANGE IN THE SENATE RULING

(Continued from page 1.)

should always express himself upon the relations of its methods of transacting the business of the nation. For him, therefore, it is an official call to the attention of the senate any collective duty such as an improvement in the method under which its business is transacted. The constitution of the United States gives the senate and the house of representatives the right to adopt their own rules for the conduct of business but this does not excuse customs and rules, which under certain conditions, might put the power of the senate itself in the hands of individuals to be used in legislative matters. Proper rules will protect the rights of minorities without curbing the rights of a majority to legislate.

"Under the inexorable laws of human nature and human reaction, this system of rules, if unchanged, cannot but lessen the effectiveness, prestige and dignity of the United States senate. Were this the first session of the senate and its present system of rules unchanged should be created by the outraged public opinion, reflected in the attitude of the senators themselves, would crush the prophet like an eggshell. Reform in the present rules of the senate is demanded, not only by American public opinion, but by the conscience of a majority of the members of the senate itself.

"It is the duty of the part of the senate which is called to the attention to defective methods in the conduct of business, it is the duty of the members of this body to correct them. To evade or ignore an issue between right and wrong, is in itself a wrong, and the performance of this duty which is alone in the interest of the nation we have sworn to faithfully serve—I trust, the consideration of the senate, in dealing with the conscience and the patriotism of its individual members."

Vice-President Dawes' talk to the senate brought a number of statements both of approval and disapproval from senators. Senator Robinson of Arkansas, the democratic leader, issued a statement declaring it was "regrettable" that Mr. Dawes had made a personal attack on the senate, "ridiculous." His criticism, Senator Caraway, democrat, of Kansas, described the speech as "illustrative exactly what should not have been said."

Senator Bruce, democrat, Maryland, declared he was entirely in sympathy with the proposal that the rules of the senate should be modified, so as to accelerate trans- action of public business. Senator Reed, democrat, Missouri, said General Dawes' melody of voice, grace of gesture and presence were only excelled by his

fundamental principles of free representative government, whatsoever may be said about the misuse of this power under the present rules of the senate, the fact remains that its existence, inimical as it is to the principles of our constitutional government cannot properly be charged against any party, nor against any individual or group of individuals.

"It has evolved as a natural consequence of the mutual confidence of high-minded men, determined that in their official association as members of the senate, full and fair opportunity to be heard on all public questions shall be enjoyed by each and every senator, irrespective of whether on the majority or the minority, either of opinion or of party."

"But, however natural has been the evolution of the present rules, however commendable that existing desire on the part of all that the rights of each individual senator should be observed, the fact remains that under them the rights of the nation and of the American people have been overlooked—and this, notwithstanding that their full recognition is the right of it," said Senator Norris, republican, Nebraska.

"I regret that such an occasion was perverted into a farce," was the comment of Senator Swanson, democrat, Virginia.

"What would be the attitude of the American people and of the individual senators themselves toward a proposed system of rules if this was the first session of the senate of the United States? In the mind of the senator who would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption of the present rule 22 without modification when it would be pointed out that during the last days of the session the right that is granted every senator to be heard for one hour after two thirds of the senate had agreed to bringing a measure to a vote, gave a minority of even one senator the right to demand a vote? What individual senator would then have the audacity to propose the adoption