
O P I N I O N



Letters to the Editor...

The Nugget welcomes contributions from its readers, which must include the writer's name, address and phone number. Letters to the Editor is an open forum for the community and contains unsolicited opinions not necessarily shared by the Editor. The Nugget reserves the right to edit, omit, respond or ask for a response to letters submitted to the Editor. Letters should be no longer than 300 words. Unpublished items are not acknowledged or returned. The deadline for all letters is noon Monday.

To the Editor:

I am writing in response to an article in the March 15 *Nugget* in which Julie Benson, co-owner of the Sisters Eagle Airport, stated that the airport lacks authority to limit or deny sky-dive activities because, as a public use airport, it is "obliged not to discriminate against types of uses."

I believe the discrimination argument is erroneous.

Rules issued by the Oregon Department of Aviation (ODA) state that "Aeronautic Recreational and Sporting Activities on airport property shall be subject to the approval of the airport sponsor." (OAR 660-013-0100(8)). Aeronautic Recreational and Sporting Activities expressly includes "all forms of skydiving." The authority to approve skydiving

operations necessarily includes the authority to disapprove. Consistent with this provision, the director of the ODA has stated verbally that the airport has the requisite authority to restrict or deny skydive operations.

In addition, discrimination only exists when similarly situated entities or individuals are treated differently for reasons that are arbitrary or otherwise not legitimate. Skydiving is not similarly situated to any other use at the airport. On the contrary, it is unique: skydiving at the airport is a commercial/recreational activity that uses the airport property from early morning until sunset, with as many as 30 take-off and landing events in a single day, up to seven days per week, three seasons per year.

See LETTERS on page 20

Sisters Weather Forecast Courtesy of the National Weather Service, Pendleton, Oregon Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday Sunday Monday Rain/snow Partly sunny Partly sunny Partly sunny Sunny 48/26 55/30 57/35 54/27 59/33 52/na

The Nugget Newspaper, Inc.

Website: www.nuggetnews.com 442 E. Main Ave., P.O. Box 698, Sisters, Oregon 97759 Tel: 541-549-9941 | Fax: 541-549-9940 | editor@nuggetnews.com



Postmaster: Send address changes to The Nugget Newspaper, P.O. Box 698, Sisters, OR 97759. Third Class Postage Paid at Sisters, Oregon. Publisher - Editor: Kiki Dolson
News Editor: Jim Cornelius
Production Manager: Leith Williver
Classifieds & Circulation: Teresa Mahnken
Advertising: Karen Kassy
Graphic Design: Jess Draper
Proofreader: Pete Rathbun

Accounting: Erin Bordonaro

was offered the year before

The game is disconnected from reality. It is

The Nugget is mailed to residents within the Sisters School District; subscriptions are available outside delivery area. Third-class postage: one year, \$45; six months (or less), \$25. First-class postage: one year, \$85; six months, \$55.

Published Weekly. ©2017 The Nugget Newspaper, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without written permission is prohibited. All advertising which appears in The Nugget is the property of The Nugget and may not be used without explicit permission. The Nugget Newspaper, Inc. assumes no liability or responsibility for information contained in advertisements, articles, stories, lists, calendar etc. within this publication. All submissions to The Nugget Newspaper will be treated as unconditionally assigned for publication and copyrighting purposes and subject to The Nugget Newspaper's unrestricted right to edit and comment editorially, that all rights are currently available, and that the material in no way infringes upon the rights of any person. The publisher assumes no responsibility for return or safety of artwork, photos, or manuscripts.



Jonah Goldberg

Every society has its rituals. Indeed, while the details vary from place to place and time to time, ritual itself is a human universal, according to anthropologist Donald Brown.

The Roman Empire was full of rituals and rites. These customs helped the Romans organize into the most formidable social organization the world had ever seen, ruling a vast swath of the globe. But over time, the rituals of the empire drifted away from the demands of governing.

This is how empires fall: when the facts on the ground do not fit the rules and expectations of those who govern.

The 1987 film "The Last Emperor" chronicles the life of Puyi, the last emperor of China. It offers a poignant taste of the disconnect between the rituals of an antiquated ruling class and the society that has moved on. Puyi is holed up in the Forbidden City, surrounded by guards, court eunuchs and other servants who cater to his every whim, even as the revolutionary armies marched on the walls to take China in a different direction.

This is how all regimes — monarchies and democracies included — ultimately perish. The rituals that once helped enforce order become distractions from the demands of reality.

This thought occurred to me recently while watching the spectacle of the latest White House budget proposal.

The unveiling of the presidential budget is an odd ritual in Washington. It is greeted as a document of great significance, even though its unveiling is almost never anything more than an act of pure symbolism. Activists with vested interests in the force and direction of the money spigot pretend not to know this and ritualistically gnash their teeth and rend the cloth of their figurative togas in outrage if the president does not offer more money than was offered the year before.

The game is disconsay.

nected from reality. It is the \$\infty\$ 2017 To budgetary equivalent of a \$Agency, LLC\$

gladiatorial contest — a distracting spectacle that has little bearing on the fundamental problems we face. We argue about whether or not Cookie Monster should be fed to the lions. We beseech the crowd to answer whether NPR should get the thumbs up or the thumbs down.

To strain the metaphor a bit, the real threat to the Republic isn't inside the gladiatorial arenas of talk radio and cable television; it's the barbarian horde of debt and entitlement.

Non-defense discretionary spending amounts to roughly 16 percent of the budget. You could cut all of it to zero and you would only slightly delay the fiscal reckoning that would come from the metastasizing growth of the national debt and the entitlement spending that fuels it. In 2008, the federal debt was 39 percent of GDP. According to the Congressional Budget Office, if we stay on our current course, it will be 86 percent by 2026. By 2046, it will hit 141 percent of GDP.

With regard to the president's rumored plan to cut \$10.5 trillion over the next 10 years, the Cato Institute's Mike Tanner writes: "Without making changes to our insolvent entitlement programs — Social Security and Medicare alone face some \$80 trillion in future red ink — there is simply no way to get anywhere near \$10.5 trillion in spending cuts."

Trump's budget simply moves the money around, and he has yet to unveil the costs of his additional spending for his big infrastructure

The causes of Rome's fall are the subject of endless debate, but nearly all historians agree that one of the major factors was the endless accumulation of debt driven by entitlements to more and more segments of Roman society.

The Caesars and the senators simply found it too difficult a problem to fix, so they played their ritualistic games until reality had its say.

© 2017 Tribune Content Agency, LLC

Opinions expressed in this column are solely those of the writer and are not necessarily shared by the Editor or The Nugget Newspaper.